arXiv:math/0406096v5 [math.NT] 15 Jun 2004

Theory of The Generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz Numbers for The Algebraic Functions of Cyclotomic Type and The Universal Bernoulli Numbers

Yoshihiro ÔNISHI

Introduction. Let C be a smooth algebraic curve of genus g, and assume it is defined by

$$y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1, \qquad \text{or} \qquad y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x \tag{1}$$

with the unique point ∞ at infinity. We consider the integral

$$u = \int_\infty^x \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{2y}. \tag{2}$$

As this is an integral of the first kind, namely of a holomorphic 1-form, this is finite valued everywhere. Since the integral (2) has a zero at ∞ of order 1, there is an inverse function $u \mapsto x$ near $u = 0$. If $g = 1$, namely C is an *elliptic curve*, then it is meromorphically extended to the whole complex plane of u and such the function $u \mapsto x$ is no other than the elliptic function $\wp(u)$ attached to C.

On the other hand, if $g = 2$, the function $u \mapsto x$ is never extended globally, we must think about the set of g integrals

$$u_1 = \int_\infty^x \frac{dx}{2y}, \quad u_2 = \int_\infty^x \frac{xdx}{2y}, \quad \cdots, \; u_g = \int_\infty^x \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{2y}, \tag{3}$$

moreover about the sum of the right hand side for g points (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), $\cdots$, (x_g, y_g), and study them as functions of several variables. Such the idea is an authority of treatise of Abelian functions back from Jacobi.

Now we should be notice that, in the case of $g = 0$ for the first type in (1), the function $u \mapsto x$ is just the *trigonometric function* $1/\sin^2(u)$. The Bernoulli numbers B_{2n}, one of the most important set of numbers in mathematics, (especially, in the theory of cyclotomic fields) is just the Laurent coefficient of this function:

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2(u)} = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{2^{2n} B_{2n}}{2n} \frac{u^{2n-2}}{(2n-2)!}. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, in the case of $g = 1$ and C is $y^2 = x^3 - x$, very important numbers E_{4n}, the Hurwitz numbers, are defined similarly by

$$\wp(u) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{4n} E_{4n}}{4n} \frac{u^{4n-2}}{(4n-2)!}. \tag{5}$$

The numbers E_{4n} are used to study the Gauss number field.

But Jacobi's theory does not work well for an extension of Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers, and the author were not able to go further for $g = 2$. Thus the author simply studied the (2) and he found surprising facts: The Laurent coefficients of the function $u \mapsto x$ have very natural extensions of the three main properties for Bernoulli numbers, von Staudt-Clausen theorem, von Staudt second theorem, and Kummer congruence relation (and their analogies [**Hu1**], [**Hu2**], [**L**] for Hurwitz numbers) (Theorems 6.1.1, 6.2.1, 7.1.1, and 7.2.1).

We have here rather modern description of our situation. We assume $g \geqq 1$. We construct a standard universal Abelian covering $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$ of C in the g dimensional complex space $\mathbf{C}^g$ as follows. The concrete definition is given at the Section 4. Here the pull-back of ∞ to $\mathbf{C}^g$ coincides with the lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{C}^g$ of all the periods of (3), and $\mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda$ is (the $\mathbf{C}$-rational points of) the Jacobian variety J of $\mathbf{C}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boxed{\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, hook] & C \arrow[d, hook, "\iota"] \\
\mathbf{C}^g \arrow[r, two heads, "\kappa"] & \mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda = J
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $g = 1$, the two vertical embeddings are bijections. We take the g-th coordinate u_g as a natural local parameter around the origin $\mathbf{0} = (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^g = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_g)$ of $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$. If $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_g)$ varies on $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$, we denote $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ the inverse function $u \mapsto x$ determined by (3). We define $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ similarly. Under this situation, the Laurent coefficients at $u = 0$ with respect to u_g of the functions $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ on $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$, which we call generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers, have the quite similar properties as Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers have. Especially we show von Staudt-Clausen type theorem, an extension of von Staudt second theorem, and Kummer type congruence relation for the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers. This paper contains various numerical examples including the Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers. They would be convenient to the reader.

In order to explain our proofs of the theorems above, we introduce the universal Bernoulli numbers[1]. As the Bernoulli numbers are associated to the formal group with the formal logarithm $t \mapsto \log(1+t)$, the universal Bernoulli numbers are associated to the universal formal group, which is investigated mainly in stable homotopy theory. The universal Bernoulli numbers are universal as the name shows, and they give our generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers by a specialization. Therefore, roughly speaking, all the properties which are satisfied by the universal Bernoulli numbers would be also satisfied by the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers. For instance, Clarke's theorem (Proposition 2.3.1) for the universal Bernoulli numbers, which is a beautiful unification of analogies of von Staudt-Clausen theorem and von Staudt second theorem, is essential in our proof of the associated Clarke type theorem (Theorems 6.1.1 and 6.2.1) for the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers.

Additionally, our proof of the Clarke type theorem for generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers needs a method that was introduced by Carlitz in [**Ca1**]. The explicit addition formula and multiplication formula for an elliptic function are traditional method to investigate Hurwitz numbers, and their natural extensions for $g > 1$ in Jacobi's theory could not work well, because the inverse function $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ is out of his theory. Surprisingly, Carlitz's method in [**Ca1**] keeps out of such formulae[2]. We use his method extensively in the Sections 10 and 11. His method is a way to compare different kinds of powers of a power series which seems to go back to Lagrange inversion theorem[3]. Since the divisor of n-th power of an algebraic function φ is just the n-plication of the divisor of the function φ, we can regard his method treats essentially the addition and multiplication formulae[4].

---

[1] July 2003, the author was translating the first Japanese version of this paper into English, and he found a gap in his proof of the Kummer type congruence relation. To repair the gap, he met the universal Bernoulli numbers, and especially a certain type of Kummer congruence relation obtained by Professor Arnold Adelberg.

Furthermore, we show a Kummer type congruence relation (Theorem 3.1.1) for the universal Bernoulli numbers, which is one of the main results of this paper. This result gives a best possible estimate about the modulus for such the congruences, and implies the Kummer congruence relation (Corollary 3.1.3) discovered by Adelberg. Though this result had contained an open lemma (namely, Proposition 3.2.1 (2)), Seidai Yasuda proved it February, 2004. He also proved the Kummer type congruence relation that had been a conjecture in the previous version of this paper. He proved the congruence by studying the *formal group* obtained by the universal formal group by specializing the universal Bernoulli numbers to the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers. At the result, we could not use the congruence for the universal Bernoulli numbers in order to prove the one for the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers, because the former is much weaker than the later. So the reader who is interested only in the generalized Brnoulli-Hurwitz numbers is suggested to skip the subsections 3.1 3.4 3.5.

Since to write up the general proof for the widest class of the curves in our theory is so complicated, we describe in a general form only the statements of main results, and restrict the context of the paper mainly for the genus two curve

$$y^2 = x^5 - 1.$$

Our results also hold for the curves of more general type as in 17.1. We call such a curve to be of cyclotomic type. The author believes that the reader who understands the arguments of this paper can easily apply them generally for the curves of cyclotomic type. Moreover, our results would be extended to any curve over the p-adic integer ring with certain prime p such that it is completely ramified at infinity and the formal completion of its Jacobian variety at the origin contains, as a factor, the formal completion of the curve at ∞. Of course, our proof is effective for the Bernoulli numbers and Hurwitz numbers, and is regarded as a new proof for such the classical numbers.

The plan of this research is initialized by a suggestion of M. Koike in my visit to Kyushu University several years ago, and by an inspiration from the nice book [**AIK**]. Professor T. Asai and S. Matsutani gave helpful comments and continuously encouraged the author. Lemma 18.3.2 was proved by Hiroshi Suzuki. Professor A.

---

[2] It is plausible by [**Ca1**] and [**Ca4**] that Carlitz made much effort to find analogous numbers as the Hurwitz numbers for higher genus cases. He, however, did not have our approach and could not succeeded. The author think that the age when he was active is much poor in detailed knowledge about Jacobian varieties. Though to find our results needed such knowledge, our paper never uses Jacobian varieties at least logically.

[3] This was pointed out by Takayuki Oda. We need another type of Lagrange inversion theorem (Proposition 1.2.1) in order to have results on the universal Bernoulli numbers.

[4] This is S.Matustani's opinion.

Adelberg read the draft of the Sections from 1 to 3, and he pointed out several errors. These three Sections was established by his helpful comments. I would like to express my thanks to the related persons.

This paper is a complete revised edition by including Yasuda's proofs, of an old manuscript which contained certain open lemma about Kummer type congruence for the universal Bernoulli numbers, and in which the Kummer type congruence for the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers was a conjecture yet. Though this edition contains some personal opinions of the author and it was named solely, the reader should understand this is a joint work of Yasuda and Ô.

The author understand by this work that Hurwitz is deeply related to the Leonid meteor storms[5].

---

[5] Around the year Hurwitz's papers [**Hu1**] and [**Hu2**] were published, the mother comet Tempel-Tuttle of the Leonid meteors got across the Earth's orbit (the date would be in June, 1898). The day of Hurwitz's death, 18th December 1919, is a day peoples were able to watch the the Leonid storms. The author found later that the initial phenomenon leading the results of this paper was discovered just at the peak of the meteors at Japan, very early before day-light of 19th December 2002. The author strongly hopes to watch spectacular display from the Leonid meteors in future.

Contents

$\boxed{1}$ Preliminaries from combinatorics
  1.1. Fundamentals on factorials
  1.2. Lagrange inversion formula
  1.3. Fundamentals on binomial coefficients
$\boxed{2}$ The universal Bernoulli numbers and their properties
  2.1. Definition of the universal Bernoulli numbers
  2.2. Schur function type expression of the universal Bernoulli numbers
  2.3. Clarke's theorem
$\boxed{3}$ The Kummer type congruence for the universal Bernoulli numbers
  3.1. Main theorem
  3.2. The first tool for the proof (Yasuda)
  3.3. The second tool for the proof
  3.4. Proof of the Kummer type congruence relation
  3.5. The Kummer-Adelberg type congruence relation
$\boxed{4}$ Hyperelliptic functions
  4.1. Fundamentals
  4.2. Hyperelliptic functions and their variable
$\boxed{5}$ Differential equations
  5.1. Generalities
  5.2. The case of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - 1$
  5.3. The case of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - x(\boldsymbol{u})$
$\boxed{6}$ The Clarke type theorem
  6.1. The case of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - 1$
  6.2. The case of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - x(\boldsymbol{u})$
  6.3. A remark on A_p
$\boxed{7}$ The Kummer type congruence relation
  7.1. The case of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - 1$
  7.2. The case of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - x(\boldsymbol{u})$
$\boxed{8}$ Hurwitz-integral series
  8.1. Definition and basic properties
  8.2. Hurwitz-integrality of $1/x^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$
  8.3. Hurwitz-integrality of $1/y^{1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$
$\boxed{9}$ Outline of the proofs
  9.1. The Clarke type theorem
  9.2. The Kummer type congruence relation
$\boxed{10}$ The Clarke type theorem on $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$
  10.1. The Clarke type theorem on $x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$
  10.2. Relation between coefficients of $x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$ and of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$
  10.3. Relation between coefficients of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $x(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/2}$
  10.4. Relation between coefficients of $x(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/2}$ and of $x(\boldsymbol{u})^2$
  10.5. The Clarke type theorem on $x(\boldsymbol{u})^2$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$

$\boxed{11}$ The Clarke type theorem on $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$
  11.1. The Clarke type theorem on $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$
  11.2. Relation between coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{2/5}$
  11.3. Relation between coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{2/5}$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/5}$
  11.4. Relation between coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/5}$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{4/5}$
  11.5. Relation between coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{4/5}$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})$
  11.6. The Clarke type theorem on $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$
$\boxed{12}$ The Clarke type theorem on $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}$
  12.1. Relation between coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $x^2(\boldsymbol{u})$
  12.2. From $x^2(\boldsymbol{u})$ to $x(\boldsymbol{u})$
$\boxed{13}$ Proof of the Kummer type congruence relation (Yasuda)
  13.1. Honda's theorem and formal groups
  13.2. Hochschilt's formula and Honda's theorem
  13.3. Proof of the Kummer type congruence relation
$\boxed{14}$ Other Kummer type congruence relations
  14.1. On generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers of higher order
  14.2. On the Hurwitz coefficients of $t(u_g)$ and of $s(u_g)$
  14.3. The Vandiver-Carlitz type congruence relation
$\boxed{15}$ Numerical examples for classical numbers
  15.1. Bernoulli numbers
  15.2. Hurwitz numbers for the curve $y^2 = x^3 - 1$
  15.3. Hurwitz numbers for the curve $y^2 = x^3 - x$
$\boxed{16}$ Numerical examples for new numbers
  16.1. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$
  16.2. $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$
  16.3. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^2 = x^5 - x$
  16.4. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^2 = x^7 - 1$
  16.5. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^2 = x^7 - x$
  16.6. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^2 = x^9 - 1$
$\boxed{17}$ Non-hyperelliptic curves
  17.1. Algebraic curves with completely ramified at infinity
  17.2. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^3 = x^5 - 1$
  17.3. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^4 = x^3 - x$
$\boxed{18}$ Appendices
  18.1. Relations on binomial coefficients
  18.2. Links with certain Eisenstein type series without justification
  18.3. Related formula with Hochschilt's formula
  18.4. Problems (or exercises?)
References

Convention on notations.

(1) For a rational number α, we denote by $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ the largest integer not exceed α, and by $\lceil \alpha \rceil$ the smallest integer not smaller than α.

(2) We use the notation

$$(z)_n = z(z-1)\cdots(z-n+1)$$

for an integer n = 0. Here the range of z is determined by each situation. The binomial coefficiets is written by this as

$$\binom{z}{n} = \frac{(z)_n}{n!}.$$

(3) Generalizing the factorial symbol, we denote by $n!!$ the product $n(n-2)(n-4)\cdots$ of the sequence with step -2 from n to 1 or 2. Similarily $n!!!$ means the product of the sequence of positive integers from n with step -3. Moreover, for instance, we denote $n!!!!! = n!^{(5)}$. Hence $12!^{(5)} = 12 \cdot 7 \cdot 2$.

(4) If p is a prime and the p-part of given rational number r is p^e, then we write $e = \mathrm{ord}_p r$. If τ is a polynomial (possibly in several variables) with rational coefficients, then we denote by $\mathrm{ord}_p \tau$ the least number of ordpr for all the coefficients r of τ.

(5) For a prime number p and an integer a, we denote by $a|_p$ the neglect of p-part of a, namely $a|_p = a/p^{\mathrm{ord}_p a}$.

(6) If $F(z)$ is a formal power seriesnwith respect to z, we denote by $[z^n]F(z)$ its coefficient of z^n. We use also $[\frac{z^n}{n!}]F(z) := n![z^n]F(z)$ or $[\frac{z}{n}]F(z) := n[z^n]F(z)$.

(7) For a formal power series $\varphi(z)$ with respect to z (permitting negative-power terms), we call $[\frac{z^n}{n!}]\varphi(z)$ $(n \geqq 0)$ the Hurwitz coefficient for $\varphi(z)$ of z^n. We say $[\frac{z}{n}]\varphi(z)$ its Carlitz coefficient.

(8) Let R be a commutative ring. We denoted by $R\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle$ the ring of the formal power series with respect to z consisting of only terms of non-negative order such that all of whose Hurwitz coefficients belong to R.

(9) In an expression of a power series with respect to z, the symbol $(d^\circ(z) \geqq m)$ stands for its part of the terms of degree at least m. When m is obvious, we simply denote them as usual by $+\cdots$.

$\boxed{1}$ Preliminaries from combinatorics

1.1. Fundamentals on factorials. The following properties on factorials are frequently used. Let n and k be non-negative integers, p be a prime number. If $n = kp + a$ $(0 \leqq a < p)$, then

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(n!) = \operatorname{ord}_p((kp)!) = \operatorname{ord}_p(k!) + k. \tag{1.1.1}$$

We denote by $S_p(n)$ the sum of the digits with base p expression of n. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(n!) = \frac{n - S_p(n)}{p-1}. \tag*{(1.1.2.)}$$

1.2. Lagrange inversion formula. For a power series $F(z)$ with respect to z, we denote by $[z^n]F(z)$ its coefficient of z^n. The following formula is called Lagrange inversion formula.

Proposition 1.2.1. *Let $\varphi(u) = u + \cdots$ be a power series with respect to u consists of only the terms of non-negative degree such that its coefficient of u is* 1*. Let $\psi(t) = \varphi^{-1}(t)$ be its formal inverse series, namely the power series with respect to t such that $\varphi(\psi(t)) = t$. Then*

$$[u^n]\Big(\frac{u}{\varphi(u)}\Big)^{\ell} = \frac{\ell}{\ell - n}[t^n]\Big(\frac{\psi(t)}{t}\Big)^{\ell - n}.$$

Proofs are found in [**Co**, pp.148-153] and the reference for [**Ad1**, Proposition 2.1].

1.3. Fundamentals on binomial coefficients. We prove the following Lemma. We do not use this until 10.1.

Lemma 1.3.1. *Let n and q are positive integers, and r be an integer such that $r < q$. Then*

$$\frac{(qn - r)!^{(q)}}{(qn)!^{(q)}} \in \mathbf{Z}\Big[\frac{1}{q}\Big].$$

Proof. Let $\xi = q\{(1+z)^{1/q} - 1\}$. So that $z = (1+\frac{1}{q}\xi)^q - 1$. We apply the Lagrange inversion formula (1.2.3) for $\ell = -1$, and have

$$[z^n]\Big(\frac{z}{q\{(1+z)^{1/q} - 1\}}\Big)^{-1} = [\xi^n]\Big(\frac{(1+\frac{1}{q}\xi)^q - 1}{\xi}\Big)^{-1-n}\tfrac{d}{d\xi}\{(1+\tfrac{1}{q}\xi)^q - 1\}.$$

The right hand side obviously belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]$. Hence the left hand side too. Looking at the coefficients of

$$(1+z)^{r/q} = \{(1+z)^{1/q}\}^r,$$

we see the statement. $\square$

Here, we show this by another way. Although the following Lemma is rather weaker than 1.3.1, we have 1.3.1 by varying p because of the equality

$$\binom{\frac{r}{q}-1}{n} = \left(\frac{r-q}{q}\right)\left(\frac{r-2q}{q}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{r-nq}{q}\right)\Big/ n! = (-1)^n\frac{(qn-r)!^{(q)}}{(qn)!^{(q)}}. \tag{1.3.2}$$

Lemma 1.3.3. *Let p be a prime number, $n \geqq 0$ be an integer, and $z \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. Then*

$$\binom{z}{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}.$$

Proof . For a given integer $n \geqq 0$, the function $z \mapsto n$ is a continuous map from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ to $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Since $\mathbf{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\binom{z}{n} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $z \in \mathbf{Z}$, the statement follows. □

$\boxed{2}$ The universal Bernoulli numbers and their properties.

2.1. Definition of the universal Bernoulli numbers. Let $f_1,\ f_2,\ \cdots$ be infinitely many indeterminates. We consider the power series

$$u = u(t) = t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \frac{t^{n+1}}{n+1} \tag{2.1.1}$$

and its formal inverse series

$$t = t(u) = u - f_1 \frac{u^2}{2!} + (3{f_1}^2 - 2f_2)\frac{u^3}{3!} + \cdots, \tag{2.1.2}$$

namely such the series that $u(t(u)) = u$. Then we define $\hat{B}_{n2} \in \mathbf{Q}[f_1, f_2, \cdots]$. by

$$\frac{u}{t(u)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{B}_n \frac{u^n}{n!} \tag{2.1.2}$$

and call them the universal Bernoulli numbers (of order 1). If we set $f_n = (-1)^n$, then $u(t) = \log(1+t)$ and $t(u) = e^t - 1$. Then $\hat{B}_n$ is just the classical Bernoulli number B_n.

2.2. Schur function type expression of the universal Bernoulli numbers. We introduce more symbols. For a finite sequence $U = (U_1, U_2, \cdots)$ of non-negative integers, we denote $w(U) = \sum_j jU_j$, and call it the weight of U. The number $d(U) = \sum_j U_j$ is called the degree of U. We can regard U a partition of $w(U)$. For simplicity, we write as

$$U! = U_1! U_2! \cdots, \quad \binom{d}{U} = \frac{d!}{U!}. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Using notations $\Lambda^U = 2^{U_1} 3^{U_2} 4^{U_3} \cdots$, and $f^U = {f_1}^{U_1} {f_2}^{U_2} {f_3}^{U_3} \cdots$, we define

$$\gamma_U = \Lambda^U U! \tag{2.2.2}$$

If we set $h(t) = (\psi(t)/t) - 1$, then

$$\Big(\frac{\psi(t)}{t}\Big)^s = \big(1 + h(t)\big)^s = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \binom{s}{d} h^d(t), \quad h^d(t) = \sum_{d(U)=d} \binom{d}{U} \frac{f^U}{\Lambda^U}\, t^{w(U)}. \tag{2.2.3}$$

Hence, by writing as

$$\tau_U = (-1)^{d(U)-1} \frac{(w(U) + d(U) - 2)!}{\gamma_U} \tag{2.2.4}$$

and using 1.2.1 for $\ell = 1$, we have the following expression of $\hat{B}_n$.

Proposition 2.2.5. *We have*

$$\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n} = \sum_{w(U)=n} \tau_U f^U.$$

Following Haigh ([**Cl**, p.594, ℓ.-12]), we call this the Schur function type expression of $\hat{B}_n$.

2.3. Clarke's theorem. Here we describe Clarke's theorem on the universal Bernoulli numbers. For a prime number p and an integer a, we denote by $a|_p$ the neglect of p-part of a, namely $a|_p = a/p^{\mathrm{ord}_p a}$.

Proposition 2.3.1. *We have*

$$\hat{B}_1 = \frac{1}{2} f_1,$$

$$\frac{\hat{B}_2}{2} = -\frac{1}{4} {f_1}^2 + \frac{1}{3} f_2,$$

$$\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n} \equiv \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{n=a(p-1)\\ p\text{: prime}}} \frac{{a|_p}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} \, {f_{p-1}}^a & (\text{ if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4 \text{ }) \\ \displaystyle\frac{{f_1}^{n-6}{f_3}^2}{2} - \frac{n{f_1}^n}{8} + \sum_{\substack{n=a(p-1)\\ p\text{: odd prime}}} \frac{{a|_p}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} \, {f_{p-1}}^a & \\ & (\text{ if } n \neq 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 2 \mod 4 \text{ }) \\ \displaystyle\frac{{f_1}^n + {f_1}^{n-3} f_3}{2} & (\text{ if } n \neq 1 \text{ and } n \equiv 1,\, 3 \mod 4 \text{ }) \end{cases}$$

mod "*the set of weight n polynomials in* $\mathbf{Z}[f_1, f_2, \cdots]$".

The proof is elementary, but is given by quite involved use of 2.2.5. For the reader who is interested in should be referred to [**Cl**].

Remark 2.3.2. (1) For example, if $n \equiv 0$ mod 4, this proposition shows that

$$\hat{B}_n \equiv -\sum_{\substack{p\text{:prime}\\ p-1|n}} \frac{{f_{p-1}}^{n/(p-1)}}{p} \mod \mathbf{Z}[f_1, f_2, \cdots],$$

and if additionally $p-1 \not| n$ then $\hat{B}_n/n \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[f_1, f_2, \cdots]$. Indeed for a prime p, if $n = a(p-1)$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p a = \nu$, then

$$\frac{n \cdot \left({a|_p}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\nu}\right)}{p^{1+\nu}} \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{p} \mod p^{\nu}\mathbf{Z}$$

and

$$\frac{n \cdot \left({a|_p}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\nu}\right)}{p^{1+\nu}} \equiv -\frac{1}{p} \mod \mathbf{Z}.$$

Hence the former statement. The later is obvious. The former is an analogy of the von Staudt-Clausen theorem for the classical Bernoulli numbers, and the later is an analogy of the von Staudt second theorem. Clarke's theorem is a beautiful unification of these analogy of these two theorems.

(2) Although the modulus is whole the ring $\mathbf{Z}[f_1, f_2, \cdots]$ in [**Cl**], we obviously see that it may be replaced as above because of 2.2.5.

$\boxed{3}$ The Kummer type congruences for the universal Bernoulli numbers.

3.1. Main theorem. The universal Bernoulli numbers satisfy the congruence relation of Kummer's original type modulo $p^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}$.

Theorem 3.1.1. *Let p be a prime, a and n be positive integers. Assume that $n > a$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \bmod (p-1)$. Then*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-f_{p-1})^{a-r} \frac{\hat{B}_{n+r(p-1)}}{n+r(p-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[f_1, f_2, \cdots].$$

Remark 3.1.2. (1) If $a = 1$ and $n > a = 1$ with $n \not\equiv 0,\ 1 \bmod (p-1)$, then the congruence above holds modulo p. This fact appeared by [**Ad1**, Theorem 3.2] in the first time. A shorter proof and an extension to the case where $n \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$ are given in [**Ad2** ,Theorem 1].

(2) For an odd prime $p \geqq 7$, let consider U such that $U_1 = p$, $U_{2p-1} = (p-3)/2$, and the other entries are $U_j = 0$. Then $w(U) = p + (p-5)(2p-1)/2 \equiv -1 \bmod (p-1)$. For this U, we can easily show that

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(\tau_U) = (p-5)/2 (= \lfloor (p-4)/2 \rfloor).$$

Note that we have $n > a$ for $a = p-4$ and $n = w(U)$. Looking at (3.4.1) below, we understand that the estimate 3.1.1 is best possible.

(3) In the example above for $p = 5$, we have $\mathrm{ord}_5(\tau_U) = 0$. Then $n = w(U) = 5 \equiv 1 \bmod (5-1)$ and this is one of the excluded case in [**Ad1**, Theorem 3.2]. Keeping this case in mind and slightly modifying the proof of 3.3.1 below, we can show that the congruence in 3.1.1 holds modulo p for $a = 1$ provided the additional condition $n \not\equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$.

We prove in 3.5 the following congruence of Adelberg ([**Ad3**, (i) of the Theorem]) directly from 3.1.1 and the Remark 3.1.2(1).

Corollary 3.1.3. (Adelberg's congruence) *If $n \not\equiv 0,\ 1 \bmod (p-1)$ and $n > a$, then*

$$f_{p-1}{}^{p^{a-1}} \cdot \frac{\hat{B}_n}{n} \equiv \frac{\hat{B}_{n+p^{a-1}(p-1)}}{n+p^{a-1}(p-1)} \mod p^a \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[f_1, f_2, \cdots].$$

The Theorem 3.1.1 gives a similar but more complicated congruence if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)$, that is [**Ad3**, (ii) of the Theorem].

3.2. The first tool for the proof (Yasuda).

We need two Propositions for the proof of 3.1.1. The following is the first one.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let p be a prime, and a, n be non-negative integers. Let*

$$M = \begin{cases} \mathrm{ord}_p(n!) & (\text{ if } n \geqq ap \text{ }), \\ a - \lfloor n/p \rfloor + \mathrm{ord}_p(n!) - \lfloor (a - \lfloor n/p \rfloor)/p \rfloor & (\text{ if } n < ap \text{ }). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following congruences.

(1) *If q is a non-negative integer, then*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \frac{((r+q)p+n)!}{(r+q)!p^{r+q}} \binom{a}{r} \equiv 0 \mod p^M;$$

(2) *If r_0 is an integer such that $0 < r_0 \leqq a$ and $n \geqq r_0 p$ is an integer, then*

$$\sum_{r=r_0}^{a} \frac{((r-r_0)p+n)!}{(r-r_0)!p^{r-r_0}} \binom{a}{r} \equiv 0 \mod p^M.$$

At the beginning of this work, while (1) had been proved by the author, (2) was still a conjecture. Recently Yasuda gave a unified proof of (1) and (2) in [**Ya1**]. This subsection is entirely due to his proof. For the proof we define, for two real numbers $\alpha > 0$ and β,

$$(3.2.2) \qquad \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta} = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathbf{Q}_p[[v]] \;\middle|\; \left(\tfrac{d}{dv}\right)^{pa} \varphi \in p^{\lceil \alpha(a+\beta) \rceil} \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle \text{ for all } a \geqq 0 \right\}.$$

Remark 3.2.3. (1) The reader may be easier to understand the proof if he regard $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ as if "$p^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle p^{\alpha/p} v \rangle\rangle$".
(2) We only use the case of $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ for the proof of 3.2.1.

Lemma 3.2.4. (1) $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ *is a $\mathbf{Z}_p$-submodule in $\mathbf{Q}_p[[v]]$.*
(2) $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta} \subset p^{\lceil \alpha\beta \rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle$*, Especially, if $\beta \geqq 0$ then $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle$.*
(3) *Let $f(v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n v^n \in \mathbf{Q}_p[[v]]$. $f(v) \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{m} a_n v^n \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ for all $m \geqq 0$.*
(4) *If $\alpha_1 \geqq \alpha_2 > 0$ then $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha_1),\beta} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha_2),\beta}$.*
(5) *If $\beta_1 \geqq \beta_2$ then $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta_1} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta_2}$.*
(6) *If $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ (possibly negative) then $p^n\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta+\frac{n}{\alpha}}$.*
(7) *If $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ then $\frac{d}{dv}\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$.*
(8) *If $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ then $\left(\frac{d}{dv}\right)^p\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta+1}$.*
(9) *Let $m \geqq 0$ and $b \in \mathbf{Q}_p$. $bv^m/m! \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ if and only if $\mathrm{ord}_p(b) \geqq \alpha\left(\lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + \beta\right)$.*

Proof. All the statements are easily shown by the definition (3.2.2). □

Corollary 3.2.5. *If* $0 < \alpha \leqq 1$, $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ *then*

$$\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}-1\Big)^p+1\Big)\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta+1}.$$

Proof. For our purpose it suffice only to prove the case $p \neq 2$. The Leibniz rule shows

$$\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}-1\Big)^p+1\Big)\varphi = \Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^p\varphi + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}(-1)^j\binom{p}{j}\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^j\varphi.$$

This belongs to $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta+1}+p\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ Therefore the statement follows from 3.2.4 (6), (5) and the assumption for α. ☐

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let* $0 < \alpha \leqq 1$.
(1) $\mathbf{Z}_p[[v]] \subset \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),0}$.
(2) *If* $\varphi_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta_1}$ *and* $\varphi_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta_2}$, *then* $\varphi_1\varphi_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta_1+\beta_2}$. *Particularly, if* $0 < \alpha \leqq 1$, *then* $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),0}$ *is a sub* $\mathbf{Z}_p$*-algebra in* $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$, *and* $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta}$ *is a* $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),0}$*-module.*

Proof. (1) Suppose $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[v]]$. Since $\frac{1}{(pa)!}\big(\frac{d}{dv}\big)^{pa}\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[v]] \subset \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$ for arbitrary $a \geqq 0$, we have

$$\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^{pa}\varphi \in (pa)!\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle = p^a a!\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle \subset p^a\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle.$$

Because $\alpha \leqq 1$, this is contained in $p^{\lceil \alpha a\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$. Hence $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),0}$.
(2) By the Leibniz rule, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^{pa}(\varphi\psi) &= \sum_{j=0}^{pa}\binom{pa}{j}\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^j\varphi\Big)\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^{pa-j}\psi\Big)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{a}\binom{pa}{pj}\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dx}\Big)^{pj}\varphi\Big)\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^{pa-pj}\psi\Big) + \sum_{0\leqq j\leqq pa, p\nmid j}\binom{pa}{j}\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^j\varphi\Big)\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^{pa-j}\psi\Big).\end{aligned}$$

If $p \nmid j$ then $p\big|\binom{pa}{j}$. The definition (3.2.2) and 3.2.4 (7) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{d}{dv}\Big)^{pa}(\varphi\psi) \in &\sum_{j=0}^{a} p^{\lceil\alpha(j+\beta_1)\rceil}p^{\lceil\alpha(a-j+\beta_2)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle\\ &+\sum_{0\leqq j\leqq pa,\ p\nmid j} pp^{\lceil\alpha(\lfloor\frac{j}{p}\rfloor+\beta_1)\rceil}p^{\lceil\alpha(\lfloor\frac{pa-j}{p}\rfloor+\beta_2)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle\\ &\subset p^{\lceil\alpha(a+\beta_1+\beta_2)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle + pp^{\lceil\alpha(a-1+\beta_1+\beta_2)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By $\alpha \leqq 1$, this is contained in $p^{\lceil\alpha(a+\beta_1+\beta_2)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$, and we have shown that

$$\varphi\psi \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(\alpha),\beta_1+\beta_2}$$

as desired. ☐

Lemma 3.2.7. *We have*

$$\exp\left(v + \frac{v^p}{p}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(1-\frac{1}{p}),\,0}.$$

Proof. Thanks to 3.2.6 (2) and (3), it suffice to show

(1) $\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{p^n}}{p^n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[v]]$G

(2) $\exp\left(-\frac{v^{p^n}}{p^n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(1-\frac{1}{p}),\,0}$ for all $n \geqq 2$.

Since the property (1) is well-known and proved in [**Ho**, p.238, 5.4] and [**R**, p.388, Theorem], we show only (2). To do so, by 3.2.4 (3), it suffice to show

(2)′ $\frac{1}{m!}\left(\frac{v^{p^n}}{p^n}\right)^m \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(1-\frac{1}{p}),\,0}$ for all $n \geqq 2$ and $m \geqq 0$.

This *i*2*j*′ is equivalent to

(2)″ $\mathrm{ord}_p\left(\frac{(p^n m)!}{m! p^{mn}}\right) \geqq (1-\frac{1}{p})p^{n-1}m$ for all $n \geqq 2$ and $m \geqq 0$,

because of 3.2.4 (9). As

$$\mathrm{ord}_p\left(\frac{(p^n m)!}{m!}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p^j m \geqq p^{n-1}m + m,$$

to show (2)″ it suffice to check

$$p^{n-1}m + m - mn \geqq \left(1 - \tfrac{1}{p}\right)p^{n-1}m,$$

namely $m(p^{n-2} - n + 1) \geqq 0$. This is easily checked. □

Proof of 3.2.1. Let $n \geqq 0$ and $m = -\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil$. By 3.2.4 (9), we see

$$\frac{v^n}{n!} \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(1-\frac{1}{p}),\,m} \cap \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle.$$

By 3.2.7 and 3.2.6 (2) we have $\frac{v^n}{n!}\exp\left(v + \frac{v^p}{p}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(1-\frac{1}{p}),\,m} \cap \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$; and by 3.2.5 we have

$$\left(\left(\frac{d}{dv} - 1\right)^p + 1\right)^a \left(\frac{v^n}{n!}\exp\left(v + \frac{v^p}{p}\right)\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle^{(1-\frac{1}{p}),\,m+a} \cap \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$$

for all $a \geqq 0$. This is contained in $p^{\lceil (1-\frac{1}{p})(m+a)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle \cap \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$ by the property 3.2.4 (2). Since

$$\left(\left(\frac{d}{dv} - 1\right)^p + 1\right)^a \left(\frac{v^n}{n!}\exp\left(v + \frac{v^p}{p}\right)\right) = \exp(v)\left(\left(\frac{d}{dv}\right)^p + 1\right)^a \left(\frac{v^n}{n!}\exp\left(\frac{v^p}{p}\right)\right),$$

and $\exp(v)$ is invertible in $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle$, we see

$$\left(\left(\frac{d}{dv}\right)^p + 1\right)^a \left(\frac{v^n}{n!}\exp\left(\frac{v^p}{p}\right)\right) \in p^{\lceil (1-\frac{1}{p})(m+a)\rceil}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle \cap \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle.$$

Substituting $m = -\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$, we have finally

$$\left(\left(\frac{d}{dv}\right)^p + 1\right)^a \left(\frac{v^n}{n!}\exp\left(\frac{v^p}{p}\right)\right) \in \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle & (\text{ if } pa < n \text{ }), \\ p^{a - \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor (a - \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor)/p \rfloor}\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle v\rangle\rangle & (\text{ if } pa \geqq n \text{ }). \end{cases}$$

Looking at the coefficients of $v^{n+qp}/(n+qp)!$ or $v^{n-r_0p}/(n-r_0p)!$ of the above after multiplying it by $n!$, we get the desired congruences. □

3.3. The second tool for the proof.

We show the second Proposition used in the proof of 3.1.1.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let p be an odd prime and U is a partition with $U_{p-1}=0$, $d(U)\neq 0$. Then τ_U defined by (2.2.4) satisfies*

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(\tau_U) \geqq \left\lfloor \frac{w(U)+d(U)-2}{2p} \right\rfloor.$$

Remark 3.3.2. As we mentioned in 3.1.2 (2), we have $\mathrm{ord}_p(\tau_U)=(p-5)/2$ for any prime $p\geqq 5$ and any partition U such that $U_1=p$, $U_{2p-1}=(p-5)/2$, with the others $U_j=0$. For this U, since $w(U)=p+\frac{(2p-1)(p-5)}{2}$, $d(U)=p+\frac{p-5}{2}$, and $\lfloor (w(U)+d(U)-2)/(2p)\rfloor = \lfloor (p^2-3p-2)/(2p)\rfloor = \lfloor \frac{p-5}{2}+\frac{p-1}{p}\rfloor = (p-5)/2$, the estimate above is best possible.

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $w(U)=n$ and $d(U)=d$. As $d\neq 0$, $n+d-2>0$. We show the estimate under the condition $U_{2p-1}\neq 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{ord}_p(\tau_U) = \mathrm{ord}_p((n+d-2)!) - \mathrm{ord}_p(\gamma_U)\\
&= \mathrm{ord}_p\Bigl(\Bigl(-2+\sum_{j\neq p-1}(j+1)U_j\Bigr)!\Bigr) - \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)} kU_{\epsilon p^k-1} - \sum_{j\neq p-1}\mathrm{ord}_p(U_j!)\\
&\qquad\qquad (\text{ In the bellow } \epsilon \text{ runs through the positive integers coprime to } p.\ )\\
&\geqq \mathrm{ord}_p\Bigl(\Bigl(-2+\sum_{j\neq p-1,\ 2p-1} jU_j + 2pU_{2p-1}\Bigr)!\Bigr) - \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)} kU_{\epsilon p^k-1} - \mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&= \mathrm{ord}_p\Bigl(\Bigl(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1} jU_j + \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1} + 2pU_{2p-1}\Bigr)!\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)} kU_{\epsilon p^k-1} - \mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&\geqq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\Bigl\lfloor \frac{1}{p^\nu}\Bigl(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1} jU_j + \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1} + 2pU_{2p-1}\Bigr)\Bigr\rfloor\\
&\qquad - \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)} kU_{\epsilon p^k-1} - \mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!) \qquad \Bigl(\ \because \mathrm{ord}_p(N!) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\lfloor \tfrac{N}{p^\nu}\rfloor\ \Bigr)\\
&= \Bigl\lfloor \frac{1}{p}\Bigl(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1} jU_j + \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1} + 2pU_{2p-1}\Bigr)\Bigr\rfloor\\
&\qquad + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\Bigl\lfloor \frac{1}{p^\nu}\Bigl(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1} jU_j + \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1} + 2pU_{2p-1}\Bigr)\Bigr\rfloor\\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)} kU_{\epsilon p^k-1} - \mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&\geqq \Bigl\lfloor \frac{1}{p}\Bigl(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1} jU_j + \sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1} + 2pU_{2p-1}\Bigr)\Bigr\rfloor
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\left\lfloor\frac{-2+2pU_{2p-1}}{p^{\nu}}\right\rfloor-\sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)}kU_{\epsilon p^k-1}-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{p}\Bigg(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1}jU_j+\sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1}+2pU_{2p-1}\Bigg)\right\rfloor\\
&\qquad-\sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1)}kU_{\epsilon p^k-1}+\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\left\lfloor\frac{-2+2pU_{2p-1}}{p^{\nu}}\right\rfloor-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{p}\Bigg(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1}jU_j+\sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-kp-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1}+2pU_{2p-1}\Bigg)\right\rfloor\\
&\qquad-U_{2p-1}+\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\left\lfloor\frac{-2+2pU_{2p-1}}{p^{\nu}}\right\rfloor-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{p}\Bigg(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1}jU_j+\sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}(\epsilon p^k-kp-1)U_{\epsilon p^k-1}+2pU_{2p-1}\Bigg)\right\rfloor\\
&\qquad-U_{2p-1}+\mathrm{ord}_p((-2+2pU_{2p-1})!)-\left\lfloor\frac{-2+2pU_{2p-1}}{p}\right\rfloor-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we can replace the first term $-\frac{2}{p}$ by $-\frac{1}{p}$, because if in the first bracket $\lfloor\ \ \rfloor$ the sum of the other terms is an integer then both of $-\frac{2}{p}$ and $-\frac{1}{p}$ contribute as -1, and if such the sum is not an integer its fractional part is larger than or equals to $\frac{1}{p}$. Moreover, since $j \geqq (j+1)/2$ about the term jU_j in the second term sum, and $\epsilon p^k - kp - 1 > \epsilon p^k/2$ if $(\epsilon, k) \neq (1,1), (2,1)$ for the third term sum, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\geqq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2p}\Bigg(-2+\sum_{p\nmid j+1}(j+1)U_j+\sum_{(\epsilon,k)\neq(1,1),\ (2,1)}\epsilon p^kU_{\epsilon p^k-1}+2pU_{2p-1}\Bigg)+U_{2p-1}\right\rfloor-U_{2p-1}\\
&\qquad+\mathrm{ord}_p((-2+2pU_{2p-1})!)-\left\lfloor\frac{-2+2pU_{2p-1}}{p}\right\rfloor-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&=\left\lfloor\frac{-2+w(U)+d(U)}{2p}\right\rfloor\\
&\quad+\mathrm{ord}_p(2U_{2p-1})!)+2U_{2p-1}-\mathrm{ord}_p(2pU_{2p-1})-\left\lfloor\frac{-2+2pU_{2p-1}}{p}\right\rfloor-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&=\left\lfloor\frac{-2+w(U)+d(U)}{2p}\right\rfloor\\
&\quad+\mathrm{ord}_p(2U_{2p-1})!)+2U_{2p-1}-\mathrm{ord}_p(2U_{2p-1})-1-(-1+2U_{2p-1})-\mathrm{ord}_p(U_{2p-1}!)\\
&\geqq\left\lfloor\frac{-2+w(U)+d(U)}{2p}\right\rfloor+\mathrm{ord}_p\Bigg(\frac{(2U_{2p-1}-1)!}{U_{2p-1}!}\Bigg).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, our proof is completed for the case $U_{2p-1} \neq 0$. If $U_{2p-1} = 0$, by substituting this at the beginning of this calculation, we can more easily prove the desired estimate. □

3.4. Proof of the Kummer type congruence relation. Let us establish the proof of 3.1.1. By 2.2.5 the left hand side of the congruence in 3.1.1 is written as follows:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-1)^r {f_{p-1}}^{a-r}\frac{\hat{B}_{n+r(p-1)}}{n+r(p-1)}=\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-1)^r {f_{p-1}}^{a-r}\sum_{w(U)=n+r(p-1)}\tau_U f^U, \tag{3.4.1}$$

where

$$\tau_U=(-1)^{d(U)-1}\frac{(w(U)+d(U)-2)!}{\gamma_U}.$$

By picking up ${f_{p-1}}^{r}$ or ${f_{p-1}}^{r-r_0}$ from f^U, we see

$$\begin{aligned}&=\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-1)^r {f_{p-1}}^{a-r}\\ &\qquad\left\{\sum_{w(U)=n}\tau_{U[r]}f^U {f_{p-1}}^{r}+\sum_{r_0=1}^{r}\sum_{\substack{w(U)=n+r_0(p-1)\\ U_{p-1}=0}}\tau_{U[r-r_0]}f^U {f_{p-1}}^{r-r_0}\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.2}$$

where $U[r]$ means to increase r the $(p-1)$-st entry of U. After exchanging the sums about r and about U, by writing down $\tau_{U[r]}$ and $\tau_{U[r-r_0]}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&=\sum_{w(U)=n}\frac{f^U {f_{p-1}}^{a}}{\gamma_{U|_{p-1}}}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-1)^{d(U[r])+r-1}\frac{\{w(U[r])+d(U[r])-2\}!}{p^{r+U_{p-1}}(r+U_{p-1})!}\\ &\quad+\sum_{r_0=1}^{a}\sum_{\substack{w(U)=n+r_0(p-1)\\ U_{p-1}=0}}\frac{f^U {f_{p-1}}^{a-r_0}}{\gamma_U}\left\{\sum_{r=r_0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-1)^{d(U[r-r_0])+r-1}\right.\\ &\qquad\qquad\left.\cdot\frac{\{w(U[r-r_0])+d(U[r-r_0])-2\}!}{p^{r-r_0}(r-r_0)!}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3}$$

Here $U|_{p-1}$ means the $(p-1)$-st entry of U by 0, so that $\gamma_{U|_{p-1}}$ in the former sum means to delete the $(p-1)$-st part $p^{U_{p-1}}U_{p-1}!$ of γ_U. For each r, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{U[r]|_{p-1}}&=\gamma_{U|_{p-1}},\\ \gamma_{U[r]|_{p-1}}p^{r+U_{p-1}}(r+U_{p-1})!&=\gamma_{U[r]},\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.4}$$

and that, in the later sum,

$$\gamma_U=\left(2^{U_1}\cdots(p-1)^{U_{p-2}}(p+1)^{U_p}\cdots\right)\cdot(U_1!\cdots U_{p-2}!U_p!\cdots) \tag{3.4.5}$$

does not contain $(p-1)$-st part. We denote the first and second sum of (3.4.3) by $\sum_1$ and $\sum_2$, respectively. and denote

$$=\sum\nolimits_1+\sum\nolimits_2=\sum_{w(U)=n}S_1(U)\;+\;\sum_{r_0=1}^{a}\sum_{\substack{w(U)=n+r_0(p-1)\\ U_{p-1}=0}}S_2(U). \tag{3.4.6}$$

Then about $S_1(U)$ for such a U that $n = w(U)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(U[r]) + d(U[r]) - 2 &= n + (p-1)r + d(U) + r - 2 \\ &= n + pr + d - 2 \\ &= (r + U_{p-1})p + n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.7}$$

Here we note that

$$\begin{aligned} n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2 &= \big(n - (p-1)U_{p-1}\big) + (d(U) - U_{p-1}) - 2 \\ &= w(U|_{p-1}) + d(U|_{p-1}) - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.8}$$

About $S_2(U)$ for such a U that $w(U) = n + r_0(p-1)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} w(U[r-r_0]) + {} & d(U[r-r_0]) - 2 \\ &= n + r_0(p-1) + (p-1)(r-r_0) + d(U) + (r-r_0) - 2 \\ &= (r-r_0)p + n + r_0 p + d(U) - r_0 - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.9}$$

We divide $\sum_1$ (resp. $\sum_2$) into two parts according to $n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2$ in (3.4.8) (resp. $n + r_0 p + d(U) - r_0 - 2$ in (3.4.9)) is $\geqq ap$ or $< ap$, and denote the sum as $\sum_1' + \sum_1''$ (resp. $\sum_2' + \sum_2''$). Here we pay attention in (3.4.8) that $n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2 > 0$ because of $n \not\equiv 0 \bmod (p-1)$.

(a) For the sum $\sum_1'$, since $n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2 \geqq ap$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ord}_p(S_1(U)) &\geqq -\mathrm{ord}_p(\gamma_{U|_{p-1}}) + \mathrm{ord}_p((n - pU_{p-1} + d - 2)!) \\ &\geqq \left\lfloor \frac{n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2}{2p} \right\rfloor \\ &\geqq \left\lfloor \frac{ap}{2p} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \right\rfloor \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.10}$$

by 3.2.1 (1) and 3.3.1.

(b) For the sum $\sum_1''$, by denoting $N = n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2$ and $N = pb + e$ $(0 \leqq e < p)$, we see $b < a$ because $0 < n - pU_{p-1} + d(U) - 2 < ap$. Therefore, by 3.3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ord}_p(N!) & - \mathrm{ord}_p(\gamma_{U|_{p-1}}) \\ &= \mathrm{ord}_p((w(U|_{p-1}) + d(U|_{p-1}) - 2)!) - \mathrm{ord}_p(\gamma_{U|_{p-1}}) \\ &= \mathrm{ord}_p(\tau_{U|_{p-1}}) \\ &\geqq \lfloor N/(2p) \rfloor. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.11}$$

This and 3.2.1 (1) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ord}_p(S_1(U)) &\geqq a - \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor + \mathrm{ord}_p(N!) - \left\lfloor \frac{a - \lfloor N/p \rfloor}{p} \right\rfloor - \mathrm{ord}_p(\gamma_{U|_{p-1}}) \\
&= \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2p} \right\rfloor + a - \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{a - \lfloor N/p \rfloor}{p} \right\rfloor \\
&\geqq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \right\rfloor + a - b - \left\lfloor \frac{a-b}{p} \right\rfloor \\
&> \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1\right) - b + a - \frac{a-b}{p} \\
&= -1 + \frac{a}{2} - \frac{(a-b)(p-2)}{2p} \\
&= -1 + \frac{a}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.12}
$$

As the initial side is an integer, it must be $\geqq \lfloor a/2 \rfloor$. Hence $\mathrm{ord}_p(\sum_1) \geqq \lfloor a/2 \rfloor$. We can prove $\mathrm{ord}_p(\sum_2) \geqq \lfloor a/2 \rfloor$ by using 3.2.1 (2) instead of 3.2.1 (1). About such the proof, we should keep in mind that, for the case $n + r_0 p + d(U) - r_0 - 2 \leqq ap$ in (3.4.9), in order to use 3.2.1 (2), it must be $n + r_0 p + d(U) - r_0 - 2 \geqq r_0 p$. However, since $d(U) \geqq 1$, this condition is satisfied if $n + r_0 p + 1 - r_0 - 2 \geqq r_0 p$, namely $n - r_0 - 1 \geqq 0$. The latest condition follows from our assumption $n > a$ for all $r_0 = 1, \cdots, a$. $\square$

Remark 3.4.13. If we replace the condition $a < n$ in 3.1.1 by $a = n$, the Kummer type congruence relation for the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers described below stands up modulo p^{n-1} and this modulus is best possible. This fact means that the condition $a < n$ is essential, because the generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers are specialization of the universal Bernoulli numbers.

3.5. The Kummer-Adelberg type congruence relation. We prove the congruence relation 3.1.3 of Adelberg by using 3.1.1 and 3.1.2(1).

Proof of 3.1.3. We note that if $p = 3$, the condition $n \neq 0$, $1 \mod (p-1)$ is always false and the statement of 3.1.3 is vacuous. So that, we may suppose $p \geqq 5$. We prove the congruence relation by induction on a. As we already mentioned the case $a = 1$ in 3.1.2 (1), and assume $a > 1$. By taking p^{a-1} as a in 3.1.1, we see

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p^{a-1}} (-1)^r \binom{p^{a-1}}{r} {f_{p-1}}^{p^{a-1}-r} \frac{\hat{B}_{n+r(p-1)}}{n+r(p-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p^{\lfloor p^{a-1}/2 \rfloor}. \tag{3.5.1}$$

Here, we have $\lfloor p^{a-1}/2 \rfloor > a$ since $a \geqq 2$ and $p \geqq 5$. If $r \neq 0$, p^{a-1}, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ord}_p \binom{p^{a-1}}{r} &= \frac{S_p(p^{a-1}-r) + S_p(r) - S_p(p^{a-1})}{p-1} \\ &= \frac{S_p(p^{a-1}-r) + S_p(r) - 1}{p-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5.2}$$

by (1.1.2). We denote $\nu = \mathrm{ord}_p r$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p^{a-1} - r &= d_{a-2}p^{a-2} + d_{a-3}p^{a-3} + \cdots + d_1 p + d_0 \qquad (0 \leqq d_j \leqq p-1), \\ r &= h_{a-2}p^{a-2} + h_{a-3}p^{a-3} + \cdots + h_1 p + h_0 \qquad (0 \leqq h_j \leqq p-1) \end{aligned}$$

be their p base digit expressions. Then, obviously,

$$d_j + h_j = \begin{cases} p-1, & (a-2 \geqq j \geqq \nu+1), \\ p, & (j = \nu), \\ 0, & (\nu - 1 \geqq j \geqq 0). \end{cases} \tag{3.5.3}$$

Namely, $S_p(p^{a-1}-r) + S_p(r) = (p-1)(a-2-\nu) + p$. Thus $\mathrm{ord}_p \binom{p^{a-1}}{r} = a - 1 - \nu$. Keeping in mind that p is an odd number, and consider the sums

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^r \binom{p^{a-1}}{r} {f_{p-1}}^{p^{a-1}-r} \frac{\hat{B}_{n+r(p-1)}}{n+r(p-1)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p^{a-1}-r} \binom{p^{a-1}}{p^{a-1}-r} {f_{p-1}}^{r} \frac{\hat{B}_{n+(p^{a-1}-r)(p-1)}}{n+(p^{a-1}-r)(p-1)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5.4}$$

for $0 \leqq r \leqq (p^{a-1}-1)/2$. Summing up the consideration above, and the assumption of induction applied to $\nu = \mathrm{ord}_p r = \mathrm{ord}_p(p^{a-1}-r)$, we see that (3.5.4) is divisible by p^a. Hence 3.1.3. □

4 Hyperelliptic functions

4.1. Fundamentals. We consider a hyperellptic curve C of genus g. We assume C is defined by $y^2 = f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 x^{2g+1} + \lambda_1 x^{2g} + \cdots + \lambda_{2g+1}$$

is a polynomial of x over C, and $f(x) = 0$ has no multiple roots. We set $\lambda_0 = 1$ for our convenience. The curve C is regarded as a non-singular algebraic curve with unique point ∞ at infinity. As is well-known, the set of

$$\frac{x^{j-1}dx}{2y} \quad ij = 1, \dots, gj \tag{4.1.1}$$

forms a basis of the differential forms of the first kind on C. Let $[\omega' \ \omega'']$ be the period matrix defined by taking a suitable set of generators of the fundamental group of C, and let

$$\Lambda := \omega'\,{}^t[\mathbf{Z} \quad \mathbf{Z} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{Z}] + \omega''\,{}^t[\mathbf{Z} \quad \mathbf{Z} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{Z}] \ (\subset \mathbf{C}^g)$$

be the lattice in $\mathbf{C}^g$ with respect to the differentials (4.1.1). We denote by J the jacobian variety of C, and by $\mathrm{Sym}^g(C)$ the symmetric product of g copies of C. Then we have a birational map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sym}^g(C) &\to \mathrm{Pic}^\circ(C) = J \\ (P_1, \dots, P_g) &\mapsto \text{the class of } P_1 + \cdots + P_g - g \cdot \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We identify J as analytic manifolds with $\mathbf{C}^g$. We denote the natural map $\mathbf{C}^g \mapsto \mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda = J$ by κ. The map

$$\iota : Q \mapsto Q - \infty$$

is an embedding of C into J. The pull-back $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$ of ι with respect to κ is a *universal Abelian covering* of the curve C. In analytic level, the birational map above sends the each element $(P_1, \cdots, P_g) \in \mathrm{Sym}^g(C)$ to the point $\boldsymbol{u} \mod \mathit{\Lambda} \in \mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda$, where

$$\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_g) = \left(\int_\infty^{P_1} + \cdots + \int_\infty^{P_g} \right)(\omega_1, \ \dots, \ \omega_g). \tag{4.1.2}$$

The following notational convention is important.

Convention : In this paper, we denote u_g simply by u.

4.2. The hyperelliptic functions and their variable. In this paper, for each point $\boldsymbol{u} \in \kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$ we denote by

$$(x(\boldsymbol{u}),\ y(\boldsymbol{u}))$$

the coordinate (x, y) of C such that $\kappa(\boldsymbol{u}) = \iota\,(x(\boldsymbol{u}),\ y(\boldsymbol{u}))$. We call a rational expression of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ hyperelliptic function regarding as a function on $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$. We confirm the following fundamental fact.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Low degree terms of the Laurent developments of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ at $\boldsymbol{u} = (0, \cdots, 0)$ with respect to $u = u_g$ is given by*

$$x(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{u^2} + (d^\circ(u) \geqq 0), \quad y(\boldsymbol{u}) = -\frac{1}{u^{2g+1}} + (d^\circ(u) \geqq -2g+1).$$

Proof We take $t = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ as a local parameter at ∞. Here we consider the brunch such that $t > 0$ for $x > 0$. We suppose that $u \in \kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$ is sufficiently near to $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, and that the three kinds of coordinates t, $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_g)$, (x, y) correspond to the same point of C. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u = u_g &= \int_\infty^{(x,y)} \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{2y} = \int_\infty^{(x,y)} \frac{x^{-3/2}dx}{2\sqrt{1 + \lambda_1 \frac{1}{x} + \cdots + \lambda_{2g+1}\frac{1}{x^{2g+1}}}} \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{t^3 \cdot \left(-\frac{2}{t^3}\right) dt}{2 + (d^\circ \geqq 1)} = -t + (d^\circ(t) \geqq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{u^2} + (d^\circ(u) \geqq -1)$. The similar fact is shown for $y(\boldsymbol{u})$. By the definition, we see $x(-\boldsymbol{u}) = x(\boldsymbol{u})$, $y(-\boldsymbol{u}) = -y(\boldsymbol{u})$ The proof have been completed. □

The following properties are shown by the similar argument and omit their proofs.

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_g)$ be a variable on $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2g-1}{u_g}^{2g-1} + (d^\circ(u_g) \geqq 2g), \\ u_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2g-3}{u_g}^{2g-3} + (d^\circ(u_g) \geqq 2g-2), \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ u_{g-1} &= \tfrac{1}{3}{u_g}^{3} + (d^\circ(u_g) \geqq 4). \end{aligned}$$

These facts suggest that

It is natural to take $u = u_g$ as the variable for the hyperelliptic functions locally near the $\boldsymbol{u} = (0, \cdots, 0)$.

$\boxed{5}$ Differential equations

5.1. Generalities. For the hyperelliptic curve $y(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = f\big(x(\boldsymbol{u})\big)$ (f is a separable polynomial of degree $2g+1$), the definition of $u = u_g$ gives

$$\frac{du}{dx}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{x^{g-1}}{2y}(\boldsymbol{u}). \tag{5.1.1}$$

After squaring this, substituting the defining equation above of C into it, we have $\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 = \frac{x^{2g-2}}{4f}$. Namely,

$$\boxed{x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g-2}x'(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = 4f\big(x(\boldsymbol{u})\big) \qquad (\ ' \text{ means } \frac{d}{du}\ ).} \tag{5.1.2}$$

This (5.1.2) is just the analogy of $\wp'(u)^2 = 4\wp(u)^3 - g_2\wp(u) - g_3$ in our theory[6]. Now we define the numbers C_n by the Laurent development of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ with respect to u:

$$x(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{c_{-1}}{u} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n} \frac{u^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \tag{5.1.3}$$

These C_n are analogy of Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers. Though the definition of Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers are based on the determination of their 2-parts, such the property is a problem in the future for our theory. Of course, the recursion relation for C_n is obtained from (5.1.2). For the function $y(\boldsymbol{u})$, we define also the numbers D_n by its Laurent expansion at $u = 0$ of $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ with respect to u:

$$y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{-1}{u^{2g+1}} + \frac{d_{-2g}}{u^{2g}} + \cdots + \frac{d_{-1}}{u} + \sum_{n=2g+1}^{\infty} \frac{D_n}{n} \frac{u^{n-2g-1}}{(n-2g-1)!}. \tag{5.1.4}$$

Since the differential equation for $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ is given by $du = x^{g-1}dx/2y$, we also have the recursion relation for D_n.

---

[6] Carlitz studied in [**Ca4**] about the solution of the differential equation $\left(\frac{dx}{du}(u)\right)^2 =$ "a degree six polynomial of $x(u)$" ($u \in \mathbf{C}$) instead of $\wp'(u)^2 = 4\wp(u)^3 - g_2\wp(u) - g_3$. The paper looks like not succeeded.

5.2. The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \mathbf{1}$.

In this case (5.1.2) is

$$\boxed{(5.2.1) \qquad x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g-2}x'(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = 4x^{2g+1}(\boldsymbol{u}) - 4 \qquad (\ ' \text{ means } \tfrac{d}{du}\ ).}$$

This is a generalization of $\wp'(u)^2 = 4\wp(u)^3 - 1$. Here, we describe automorphisms of this curve $C:\ y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$ and its Jacobian variety J. Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(2g+1)}$, Then C has automorphisms

$$\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil : C \to C, \quad (x,y) \mapsto (\zeta^j x, \pm y) \quad (j = 0, \cdots, 2g)$$

They induce automorphisms of $\mathrm{Pic}^\circ(C)$ by

$$\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil : P_1 + \cdots + P_g - g\infty \mapsto (\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil)P_1 + \cdots + (\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil)P_g - g\infty$$

where $P_1, \cdots, P_g \in C$; and also give automorphisms of J. From (4.1.1) and (4.1.2), we see

$$-\lceil\zeta\rceil(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_g) = (-\zeta u_1, -\zeta^2 u_2, \cdots, -\zeta^g u_g).$$

Namely,

$$(5.2.2) \qquad x(-\lceil\zeta\rceil\boldsymbol{u}) = \zeta x(\boldsymbol{u}), \quad y(-\lceil\zeta\rceil\boldsymbol{u}) = -y(\boldsymbol{u})$$

Therefore, if n is not divisible by $2(2g+1)$, then $C_n = D_n = 0$.

5.3. The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$.

In this case, (5.1.2) is

$$\boxed{(5.3.1) \qquad x(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g-2}x'(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = 4x^{2g+1}(\boldsymbol{u}) - 4x(\boldsymbol{u}) \qquad (\ ' \text{ means } \tfrac{d}{du}\ ).}$$

This is a generalization of $\wp'(u)^2 = 4\wp(u)^3 - 4\wp(u)$. We describe automorphisms of this curve $C:\ y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$ and its Jacobian J. Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(2g)}$. Then C has sutomorphisms

$$\lceil\zeta^j\rceil : C \to C, \quad (x,y) \mapsto (\zeta^{2j} x, \zeta^j y) \quad (j = 0, \cdots, 2g).$$

They induce automorphisms of $\mathrm{Pic}^\circ(C)$ by

$$\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil : P_1 + \cdots + P_g - g\infty \mapsto (\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil)P_1 + \cdots + (\pm\lceil\zeta^j\rceil)P_g - g\infty$$

where $P_1, \cdots, P_g \in C$; and also give automorphisms of J. From (4.1.1) and (4.1.2), we see

$$\lceil\zeta\rceil(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_g) = (\zeta u_1, \zeta^3 u_2, \cdots, \zeta^{2g-1} u_g).$$

Namely,

$$(5.3.2) \qquad x(\lceil\zeta\rceil\boldsymbol{u}) = \zeta^2 x(\boldsymbol{u}), \quad y(\lceil\zeta\rceil\boldsymbol{u}) = \zeta y(\boldsymbol{u}).$$

Therefore if n is coprime to $4g$, then $C_n = D_n = 0$.

$\boxed{6}$ The Clarke type theorem

6.1. The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \mathbf{1}$. In this case the Clarke type theorems for $C_{(4g+2)n}$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}$ are stated as follows:

Theorem 6.1.1. *For each numbers $C_{(4g+2)n}$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}$ defined in* (5.1.3) *and* (5.1.4)*, respectively, for the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$, we have*

$$\frac{C_{(4g+2)n}}{(4g+2)n} \equiv -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)\\ (4g+2)n=a(p-1)}} \frac{\left.a\right|_p^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a \mod \mathbf{Z},$$

$$\frac{D_{(4g+2)n}}{(4g+2)n} \equiv -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)\\ (4g+2)n=a(p-1)}} \frac{\left.((2g)!a)\right|_p^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a \mod \mathbf{Z},$$

where $A_p = (-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)} \cdot \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/(4g+2)}$.

Obviously, these results show the following von Staudt-Clause type theorem and an extension of von Staudt second theorem for $C_{(4g+2)n}$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}$.

Corollary 6.1.2. (1) *For each numbers $C_{(4g+2)n}$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}$, there are integers $G_{(4g+2)n}$ and $H_{(4g+2)n}$ such that*

$$C_{(4g+2)n} = \sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)\\ p-1|(4g+2)n}} \frac{{A_p}^{(4g+2)n/(p-1)}}{p} + G_{(4g+2)n},$$

$$D_{(4g+2)n} = \sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)\\ p-1|(4g+2)n}} \frac{((2g)!^{-1} \bmod p)\ {A_p}^{(4g+2)n/(p-1)}}{p} + H_{(4g+2)n},$$

where A_p is the same one defined in 6.1.1.
(2) *If $(p-1) \nmid (4g+2)n$, then $C_{(4g+2)n}/((4g+2)n)$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}/((4g+2)n)$ belong to $\mathbf{Z}_p$.*

Proof. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)$, $(4g+2)m = a(p-1)$, and $\mathrm{ord}_p a = \nu$. Then

$$\frac{(4g+2)m \cdot \left(\left.a\right|_p^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\nu}\right)}{p^{1+\nu}} \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{p} \mod p^{\nu}\mathbf{Z}$$

and

$$\frac{(4g+2)m \cdot \left(\left.a\right|_p^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\nu}\right)}{p^{1+\nu}} \equiv -\frac{1}{p} \mod \mathbf{Z}.$$

This yields the results. □

6.2. The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$. In this case, the Clarke type theorem for C_{4gn} and D_{4gn} are stated as follows:

Theorem 6.2.1. *For each numbers C_{4gn} and D_{4gn} defined in* (5.1.3) *and* (5.1.4), *respectively, for the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$, we have*

$$\frac{C_{4gn}}{4gn} \equiv -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 4g\\ 4gn=a(p-1)}} \frac{a|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} A_p{}^a \mod \mathbf{Z},$$

$$\frac{D_{4gn}}{4gn} \equiv -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 4g\\ 4gn=a(p-1)}} \frac{((2g)!a)|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} A_p{}^a \mod \mathbf{Z},$$

where $A_p = (-1)^{(p-1)/(4g)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (p-1)/2 \\ (p-1)/(4g) \end{pmatrix}$.

These results also shows the following von Staudt-Clausen type theorem and an extension of von Staudt second theorem for C_{4gn} and D_{4gn}.

Corollary 6.2.2. (1) *For each numbers C_{4gn} and D_{4gn}, there are integers G_{4gn} and H_{4gn} such that*

$$C_{4gn} = \sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 4g\\ p-1|4gn}} \frac{A_p{}^{4gn/(p-1)}}{p} + G_{4gn},$$

$$D_{4gn} = \sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 4g\\ p-1|4gn}} \frac{((2g)!^{-1} \bmod p)\ A_p{}^{4gn/(p-1)}}{p} + H_{4gn},$$

where A_p is the same one defined in 6.2.1.
(2) *If $(p-1) \nmid 4gn$, then $C_{4gn}/(4gn)$ and $D_{4gn}/(4gn)$ belong to $\mathbf{Z}_p$.*

Proof. Similar to 6.1.2. □

6.3. A remark on $\boldsymbol{A_p}$. Let p be a prime number such that $p \equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)$ or $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4g$ according to C is defined by $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$ or $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$.

We take the set of (4.1.1), namely

$$\left(\frac{1}{2y}, \frac{x}{2y}, \cdots, \frac{x^{g-1}}{2y}\right)$$

as the basis of the differential forms of the first kind on C mod p. Then the Hasse-Witt matrix ($g \times g$ matrix) with respect to this basis is a diagonal matrix ([**Yu**, p.381]), and its (g, g)-entry is just the number A_p. Katz pointed out in the case of Hurwitz numbers that A_p is equal to the Hasse invariant (the unique entry of the Hasse-Witt matrix!) [**Ka**, p.2]. Our results are quite natural extension of that fact.

$\boxed{7}$ Kummer type congruence relation

7.1. The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \mathbf{1}$. In this case, the Kummer's original type congruence relations for $C_{(4g+2)n}$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}$ are stated as follows:

Theorem 7.1.1. *Let $C_{(4g+2)n}$ and $D_{(4g+2)n}$ be the numbers defined in* (5.1.3) *and* (5.1.4)*, respectively, for the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$. For a prime $p \equiv 1 \mod (2g+1)$ and positive integers a and n such that $(4g+2)n - 2 \geqq a$, if $(p-1) \nmid (4g+2)n$, then we have*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} \cdot \frac{C_{(4g+2)n+r(p-1)}}{(4g+2)n + r(p-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p^a \mathbf{Z}_{(p)},$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} \cdot \frac{D_{(4g+2)n+r(p-1)}}{(4g+2)n + r(p-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p^a \mathbf{Z}_{(p)},$$

where

$$A_p = (-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)} \cdot \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/(4g+2)}.$$

These congruence relations are just the same form as in Kummer's original paper [**Ku**] and in the case of Hurwitz numbers [**L**, p.193, (26)].

Remark 7.1.2. Under the facts (10.1.1), (10.1.2), and (10.1.3) proved later, we understand that 7.1.1 is satisfied modulo $p^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}$.

7.2. The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$. In this case, the Kummer's original type congruence relations for C_{4gn} and D_{4gn} are stated as follows:

Theorem 7.2.1. *Let C_{4gn} and D_{4gn} be the numbers defined in* (5.1.3) *and* (5.1.4)*, respectively, for the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$. For a prime $p \equiv 1 \mod 4g$ and positive integers a and n such that $4gn - 2 = a$, if $(p-1) \nmid 4gn$, then we have*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} \cdot \frac{C_{4gn+r(p-1)}}{4gn + r(p-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p^a \mathbf{Z}_{(p)},$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} \cdot \frac{D_{4gn+r(p-1)}}{4gn + r(p-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p^a \mathbf{Z}_{(p)},$$

where

$$A_p = (-1)^{(p-1)/(4g)} \cdot \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/(4g)}.$$

These relations are also the same form as in the original [**Ku**] for the Bernoulli numbers and [**L**, p.193, (23)] for the Hurwitz numbers.

Remark 7.2.2. Under the facts (11.1.1), (11.1.2), (11.1.3) proved later, we understand that 7.2.1 is satisfied modulo $p^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}$.

$\boxed{8}$ Hurwitz-integral series

8.1. Definition and basic properties. We describe the notion of Hurwitz integrality and its properties. In this subsection the letter R always means a subring of the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of the p-adic numbers for a fixed prime p. In our practice, R will be $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]$ with non-zero integer q, the localization $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ of the integer ring $\mathbf{Z}$ at p, or its p-adic completion $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

Definition 8.1.1. Let z be an indeterminate or a variable. Let

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (\ h_n \in \mathbf{Q}_p\ )$$

be a power series with respect to z. If all the coefficients h_n belong to R, then we say $h(z)$ is Hurwitz integral over R. The ring consists of the Hurwitz-integral series over R with respect to z is denoted by $R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$.

It is easily seen that $R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$ is an integral domain, and is closed under the operations d/dz and $\int_0^z \cdot\, dz$. A series $h(z) \in R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$ is a unit in $R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$ if and only if its constant term is a unit in R. Moreover the following properties are easily shown (see [**Hu2**, Section 1]).

Proposition 8.1.2. *Let*

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (\ h_n \in \mathbf{Q}_p\ ).$$

(1) *If the first n coefficients $h_0, \cdots, h_{n-1}$ belong to R, and there is a polynomial $F(T_0, T_1, \cdots, T_{n-1})$ of n variables over R such that there exists a relation*

$$h^{(n)}(z) = F(h(z), h'(z), \cdots, h^{(n-1)}(z))$$

on derivatives of $h(z)$, then $h(z) \in R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$.
(2) *If $h(z) \in R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$, $h_0 = 0$, and $h_1 = 1$, then the formal inverse series*

$$z = h^{-1}(w) = w + \cdots$$

of $w = h(z)$ also belongs to $R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$.
(3) *If $h(z) \in R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$, $h_0 = 0$, and $h_1 = 1$, then for any positive integer m,*

$$\frac{h(z)^m}{m!}$$

also belongs to $R\langle\langle z\rangle\rangle$.

Although there are so many criteria for Hurwitz integrality, they will be complicated to state. See 8.3 for example.

8.2. Hurwitz-integrality of $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$. Here, we check the following fact.

Proposition 8.2.1. *On the curve* $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$ *or* $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$, *we have*
$$t := -1/x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2} \quad (= u + \cdots) \in \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we restrict the argument within only the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. We denote simply $t' = dt/du$, $t'' = d^2t/du^2$, $\cdots$. By (5.2.1), we see

$$(t')^2 = 1 - t^{10}. \tag{8.2.2}$$

After differentiating this by u, dividing by $2t'$, we have

$$t'' = -5t^9. \tag{8.2.3}$$

Since $t(0) = t'(0) = 0$, 8.1.2 (1) yields that

$$1/x^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{u}) = -u + 5\cdot 9!\,\frac{u^{11}}{11!} + \cdots \in \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle \tag{8.2.4}$$

for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. □

8.3. Hurwitz-integrality of $\mathbf{1}/\boldsymbol{y}^{1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$. We also show the following fact.

Proposition 8.3.1. *On the curve* $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$ *or* $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$, *we have*
$$s := -1/y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/(2g+1)}(= u + \cdots) \ \in \ \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle.$$

Proof. We restrict again the argument within only the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. By (5.1.1), we see that

$$du = \frac{xdx}{2y} = \frac{x\frac{dx}{dy}dy}{2y} = \frac{xdy}{2y\frac{dy}{dx}} = \frac{xdy}{5x^4} = \frac{dy}{5x^3} = \frac{dy}{5(y^2+1)^{3/5}}, \tag{8.3.2}$$

and

$$\frac{dy}{du} = 5(y^2+1)^{3/5}D \tag{8.3.3}$$

Writing simply $s' = ds/du$, $s'' = d^2s/du^2$, $\cdots$, we have $dy/du = -5s^{-6}ds/du$. Hence

$$s' = -(1 + s^{10})^{3/5}. \tag{8.3.4}$$

This formula gives the following equation by induction: For each integer $n \geqq 1$, the n-th derivative of s is written as a finite sum of the form

$$s^{(n)} = \sum_j (1 + s^{10})^{L_{nj}/5} P_{nj}(s, s', s'', \cdots, s^{(n-1)}), \tag{8.3.5}$$

where each P_{nj} means a polynomial of n variables over $\mathbf{Z}$, and L_{nj} is an integer. Summing up this and $s(0) = 0$, we see that $s'(0)$, $s''(0)$, $s^{(3)}(0)$, $\cdots$ are all integers. Therefore we conclude that

$$1/y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5} = -u - 48\cdot 9!\,\frac{u^{11}}{11!} + \cdots \in \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle \tag{8.3.6}$$

for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. □

$\boxed{9}$ Outline of the proof

We sketch the proofs of 6.1.1 and 7.1.1 (also of 6.2.1 and 7.2.1) by taking the case of the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$ as an example. Recall our convention that, for a given power series $\varphi(z)$ with respect to z, each coefficient $\left[\frac{z^n}{n!}\right]\varphi(z)$ ($n \geqq 0$) is called a *Hurwitz coefficient* of it. In the following, any Hurwitz coefficients are those of developments with respect to u.

9.1. The Clarke type theorem. The proof of Clarke type theorem 6.1.1 is divided into the following three steps.

Step 1. We prove the Clarke type theorem for the Hurwitz coefficients C_n/n of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $C_n^{(4)}/(n)_4$ of $x^2(\boldsymbol{u}) = (1/t)^4$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

Step 2. We prove the Clarke type theorem for the Hurwitz coefficients D_n/n of $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$.

Step 3. We make a connection of the results above. By using simple formulae concerning $D = d/du$ among the functions $x(\boldsymbol{u})$, $x^2(\boldsymbol{u})$, $y(\boldsymbol{u})$, we can connect the Hurwitz coefficients of these functions, and show both of the results in Steps 1 and 2 are valid over $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \cap \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$.

More detailed outline is as follows.

Step 1: We prove the Clarke type theorem (10.1.7) for the Hurwitz coefficients $C_{10m}^{(1)}/(10m)$ of $x^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. Then we show

a relation (10.2.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $x^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$,
a relation (10.3.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $x^{3/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$,
a relation (10.4.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $x^2(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $x^{3/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$.

These relations yield the Clarke type theorems for these Hurwitz coefficients over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ by using the integrality property (10.1.3) of the Carlitz coefficients (see the Convention) of the formal inverse series u with respect to $t = x^{-1/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$.

The Clarke type theorem above for the Hurwitz coefficients of $x^2(\boldsymbol{u}) = \big(x^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{u})\big)^4$ (namely, (10.5.3)) is important in Step 3.

Step 2: In 11.1, we prove the Clarke type theorem (11.1.7) for the Hurwitz numbers $D_{10m}^{(1)}/(10m)_4$ of $y^{1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ by using the integrality (11.1.3) of the Carlitz coefficients of the formal inverse series u with respect to $s = y^{-1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$. On the other hand, we show that

a relation (11.2.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y^{2/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y^{1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$,
a relation (11.3.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y^{3/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y^{2/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$,
a relation (11.4.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y^{4/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y^{3/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$,
a relation (11.5.4) between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y^{4/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$.

Summing up these relations, we can connect the Hurwitz coefficients $D_{10m}/(10m)$ of $y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \big(y^{1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})\big)^5$ to $D_{10m}^{(1)}/(10m)$ (see (11.6.1) and (11.6.2)). Therefore we have the Clarke type theorem (11.6.3) for $D_{10m}/(10m)$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$, and finish the Step 2.

Step 3: Let $D = d/du$. We can show by using

$$(Dx^2)(\boldsymbol{u}) = 4y(\boldsymbol{u})$$

that D_{10m} and $C^{(4)}_{10m}$ are essentially the same numbers. Since $D_{10m}/(10m) \in \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$, the denominator of $C^{(4)}_{10m}/(10m)_4$ contains neither a power of 2 nor of 5. Hence, we have the Clarke type theorem (12.1.4) for $D_{10m}/(10m)$ over $\mathbf{Z}$, namely the second formula in 6.1.1. Finally, the formula

$$D^2x(\boldsymbol{u}) = 6x^2(\boldsymbol{u}) + \frac{4}{x^3(\boldsymbol{u})}$$

with $D = d/du = (2y/x)d/dx$, and the Hurwitz-integrality (8.2.4) of $1/x^3(\boldsymbol{u})$ connect $C^{(4)}_{10m}/(10m)_4$ with $C_{10m}/(10m)$. So that we have the desired Clarke type theorem for $C_{10m}/(10m)$, namely the first formula in 6.1.1.

Incidentally, Prof. T.Oda pointed out the method used frequently in the proof above, that is a method to compare the Hurwitz coefficients of two different power of a given power series with the Carlitz coefficients of the formal inverse series of it, is a variant of Lagrange inversion formula 1.2.1. The reader who is interested in such the method is referred to [**W**, pp.128-133] (Lagrange-Bürmann theorem) or [**Co**, pp.148-153].

There is also a congruence in [**Ad1**, Theorem 3.4] linking through various order universal Bernoulli numbers, namely the universal case of Hurwitz coefficients of various powers of $1/t(u)$ with respect to u. While the author believe it would closely relate to our method, he could not use it.

9.2. The Kummer type congruence relation. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ be a fixed prime number. Although we describe only about C_{10m}, the theorem for D_{10m} is proved similarly. The outline of the proof is as follows.

Step 1: We show that the formal inverse series $u(t) \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle$ of $u \mapsto t = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{-1/2}$ satisfies the Honda's criterion (13.1.10) (see (13.1.10)). This fact implies the formal group law F whose formal logarithm is $u(t)$, namely

$$F(t_1, t_2) := u^{-1}(u(t_1) + u(t_2))$$

is defined over $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (see 13.1.1).

Step 2: By using Hochshilt's formula and Honda's property (13.1.10), we see that

$$\Big(\big(\tfrac{d}{du}\big)^p - A_p \tfrac{d}{du}\Big) t(u) \in p\mathbf{Z}_p[[t(u)]] \tag{9.2.1}$$

for $t = t(u) = x(\boldsymbol{u})^{-1/2} \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle$, in 13.2.

Step 3: Let $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be a primitive $(p-1)$-st root of 1. The multiplication by ξ

$$F_\xi(t) := u^{-1}\big(\xi u(t)\big) = \xi + \cdots .$$

determined from F belongs to $\xi t + t^2\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]$ by the results in the Step 1. Now we use the notation $x\langle u\rangle = x(\boldsymbol{u}) \in \frac{1}{u^2} + \mathbf{Q}_p[[u]]$ in order to avoid confusion. Thanks to the existence of such $F_\xi(t)$, we can easily show that

$$x\langle u(t)\rangle - \xi^2 x\langle \xi u(t)\rangle \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]. \tag{9.2.2}$$

Adding (9.2.1) and (9.2.2), we have, for any integer $a > 0$, that

$$\Big(\big(\tfrac{d}{du}\big)^p - A_p \tfrac{d}{du}\Big)^a \big(x\langle u(t)\rangle - \xi^2 x\langle \xi u(t)\rangle\big) \in p^a\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]] \subset p^a\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle. \tag{9.2.3}$$

The coefficient of $u^{10n-a-2}/(10n-a-2)!$ is just the left hand side of the first formula in 7.1.1, and the poof is completed.

We mention here that the Kummer type congruence relations for $C_{10m}^{(\nu)}$ and $D_{10m}^{(\nu)}$ without the division by $10m$ are proved in 14.1.

$\boxed{10}$ The Clarke type theorem on $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

10.1. The Clarke type theorem on $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$. Let us consider the formal inverse series of $u \mapsto \sqrt{x(\boldsymbol{u})}$, namely the power series u with respect to

$$t = \frac{-1}{x^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{u})}.$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \int_{\infty}^{x} \frac{x dx}{2y} \\ &= \int_{0}^{-t} \frac{\frac{1}{t^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{2dt}{t^3}\right)}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t^{10}} - 1}} \\ &= -\int_{0}^{-t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^{10}}} dt \\ &= -\int_{0}^{-t} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} t^{10m}\right) dt \\ &= t + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m+1}}{10m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience of quotation, we write again this;

$$u = t + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m+1}}{10m+1}. \tag{10.1.1}$$

We denote the Carlitz coefficients by

$$f_{10m} = (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m}. \tag{10.1.2}$$

This notation corresponds to that in the definition of the universal Bernoulli numbers. By 1.3.1 and (1.3.2), we see that

$$f_{10m} \in \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \tag{10.1.3}$$

The other coefficients f_n are 0. Then the divided universal Bernoulli number $\hat{B}_{10m}/(10m)$ is specialized to $C_{10m}^{(1)}/(10m)$, and the expression of 2.2.5 yields that

$$\frac{C_{10m}^{(1)}}{10m} \in 3!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \tag{10.1.4}$$

as follows. To prove this, we apply 3.3.1 for $p = 2$ and $p = 3$. Since $f_{2-1} = 0$ and $f_{3-1} = 0$ in this case, we may consider only the partitions U such that $U_{2-1} = U_{3-1} = 0$. Here, as

$$w(U) = 10m \geqq 10, \quad d(U) \geqq 1$$

we see

$$\mathrm{ord}_2(\tau_U) \geqq \lfloor \tfrac{10+1-2}{4} \rfloor = 2, \quad \mathrm{ord}_3(\tau_U) \geqq \lfloor \tfrac{10+1-2}{6} \rfloor = 1.$$

Hence, in the expression of 2.2.5 for $C_{10m}^{(1)}/(10m)$, all its coefficients are divisible by 3!. Adding to (10.1.3), we conclude (10.1.4).

If $p = 10m+1$ is a prime number, then the coefficient f_{p-1} of $t^{10m+1}/(10m+1)$ modulo p coincide with $(2,2)$-entry of the Hasse-Witt matrix with respect to our canonical base of the differential of the first kind on the curve C of reduction modulo p, namely

$$f_{p-1} = (-1)^{(p-1)/10}\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{10}} \equiv A_p \mod p. \tag{10.1.5}$$

For this, see 18.1.

Under the consideration above, we apply the Clarke's theorem 2.3.1 for the function $u \mapsto x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$. Let

$$\frac{1}{t} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(1)}}{10m} \frac{{u_2}^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} \tag{10.1.6}$$

Proposition 2.3.1 and (10.1.4) show that

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{3!}\frac{C_{10m}^{(1)}}{10m} \in \sum_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \bmod 5 \\ 10m = a(p-1)}} \frac{(3!a)|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a + \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}].} \tag{10.1.7}$$

10.2. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$ and of $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$. For the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$ and

$$t = \frac{-1}{x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}} \quad (= u + \cdots),$$

we let

$$\frac{1}{t^2} = x(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{u^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!}. \tag{10.2.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.2.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (10.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by t^2, we have

$$\frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{dt}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} t^{10m-2} \frac{dt}{du}. \tag{10.2.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) du_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{t} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-1}}{10m-1} \right) + \frac{1}{u}.$$

By equating this with (10.2.2) and by using (10.1.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-1}}{10m-1} \right) + \frac{1}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C^{(1)}_0 = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-1}}{10m-1}.$$

The right hand side of this belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 1.3.1, 8.1.2 (3), and (8.2.4). Adding this with (10.1.3), we see that

$$\frac{C^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} + \frac{C^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \in (10 \cdot 1 - 2)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 3!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \tag{10.2.4}$$

As $C_{10m}/(10m) = C^{(2)}_{10m}/(10m)_2$ by the definition, we have

$$\frac{C_{10m}}{10m} \in -\frac{C^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} + 3!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \tag{10.2.5}$$

by (10.2.4).

We remark that we can conclude the Clarke type theorem for C_{10m} over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ by (10.2.5) above and (10.1.7). We need, however, in order to prove the Clarke type theorem over $\mathbf{Z}$ to take a rather long way mentioned in 9.1.

10.3. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{x(u)}$ and of $\boldsymbol{x(u)^{3/2}}$. We prove here a relation of Hurwitz coefficients of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $x^{3/2}(\boldsymbol{u})$ for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. For

$$t = \frac{-1}{x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}} \quad (= u + \cdots),$$

we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^3} &= -x^{3/2}(\boldsymbol{u}) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} \qquad (C_0^{(3)} = 1). \end{aligned} \tag{10.3.1}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.3.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (10.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by t^3, we have

$$\frac{1}{t^3} = \frac{1}{t^3}\frac{dt}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} t^{10m-3} \frac{dt}{du}. \tag{10.3.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) du = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{t^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-3}}{10m-3} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{{u_2}^2}.$$

By equating this with (10.3.2) and by using (10.2.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(2)}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-2}}{10m-2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_0^{(2)} = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(2)}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} = 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-2}}{10m-2}.$$

The right hand side belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 1.3.1, 8.1.2 (3), and (8.2.4). Adding this with (10.1.3), we see that

$$\frac{C_{10m}^{(2)}}{(10m)_2} + \frac{2C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \in (10 \cdot 1 - 3)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 3!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \tag{10.3.4}$$

10.4. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{x(u)^{3/2}}$ and of $\boldsymbol{x(u)^2}$. We prove here a relation of Hurwitz coefficients of $x(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/2}$ and of $x^2(\boldsymbol{u})$ for $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. For

$$t = \frac{-1}{x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}} \quad (= u + \cdots),$$

we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^4} &= x^2(\boldsymbol{u}) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} \qquad (C_0^{(4)} = 1). \end{aligned} \tag{10.4.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{t^4} - \frac{1}{u^4} \right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.4.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (10.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by t^4, we have

$$\frac{1}{t^4} = \frac{1}{t^4} \frac{dt}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} t^{10m-4} \frac{dt}{du}. \tag{10.4.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{t^4} - \frac{1}{u^4} \right) du = \left(-\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{t^3} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-3}}{10m-3} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{u^3}.$$

By equating this with (10.4.2) and by using (10.3.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-3}}{10m-3} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{u^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_0^{(3)} = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{3C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} = 3 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{t^{10m-3}}{10m-3}.$$

The right hand side belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 1.3.1, 8.1.2 (3), and (8.2.4). Adding this with (10.1.3), we see that

$$\frac{C_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} + \frac{3C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in (10 \cdot 1 - 4)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 3!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \tag{10.4.4}$$

10.5. The Clarke type theorem on $x(\boldsymbol{u})^2$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. We summarize the results which obtained in this Section. The results (10.2.4), (10.3.4), and (10.4.4) show that

$$\frac{C_{10m}^{(1)}}{10m} + 3!\frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in\ 3!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \tag{10.5.1}$$

So that

$$\frac{1}{3!}\frac{C_{10m}^{(1)}}{10m} + \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \tag{10.5.2}$$

This and 10.1.7 yield that

$$\boxed{(10.5.3)\qquad \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in\ -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 5\\ 10m=a(p-1)}} \frac{((3!a)|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} A_p{}^a + \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}].}$$

Especially, we see that

$$\frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in \mathbf{Z}_{(5)}. \tag{10.5.4}$$

$\boxed{11}$ The Clarke type theorem on $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$

11.1. The Clarke type theorem on $\boldsymbol{y}^{1/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$. Being parallel to the Section 10, we consider the formal inverse series of $u \mapsto y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$. Let

$$y(\boldsymbol{u}) = -1/s^5.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \int_\infty^x \frac{xdx}{2y} = \int_\infty^y \frac{x}{2y}\frac{dx}{dy}dy = \int_\infty^y \frac{x}{5x^4}dy = \int_\infty^y \frac{1}{5x^3}dy \\
&= \int_\infty^y \frac{1}{5(y^2+1)^{3/5}}dy = \int_0^s \frac{\frac{5ds}{s^6}}{5(\frac{1}{s^{10}}+1)^{3/5}} \\
&= \int_0^s \frac{1}{(1+s^{10})^{3/5}}ds \\
&= \int_0^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!}\frac{3}{5}s^{10} + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{3}{5}\frac{8}{5}s^{20} - \frac{1}{3!}\frac{3}{5}\frac{8}{5}\frac{13}{5}s^{30} + \cdots\right)ds \\
&= \int_0^s \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} s^{10m}\right)ds \\
&= s + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m}\frac{s^{10m+1}}{10m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For convenience of quotation, we rewrite this:

$$u = s + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m}\frac{s^{10m+1}}{10m+1}. \tag{11.1.1}$$

We denote each the Carlitz coefficient of this by

$$f_{10m} = \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m}. \tag{11.1.2}$$

By 1.3.1 and (1.3.2), we see that

$$f_{10m} \in \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}]. \tag{11.1.3}$$

The other coefficients f_n are 0. Here we are regarding each the coefficient as a specialization of f_j of the Subsection 2.1. Then the divided universal Bernoulli number $\hat{B}_{10m}/(10m)$ is specialized to $D^{(1)}_{10m}/(10m)$. We claim that

$$\frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} \in 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}]. \tag{11.1.4}$$

To prove this, we apply 3.3.1 for $p=2$ and $p=3$. In this case, as $f_{2-1}=0$ and $f_{3-1}=0$, we may consider only the partitions U such that $U_{2-1}=U_{3-1}=0$. If $m=1$, then $D^{(1)}_{10}/10 = (3/5)(9!/11) = 2^7 3^5 7/11$ is divisible by 4!. If $m \geqq 2$, then because of

$$w(U) = 10m \geqq 20, \quad d(U) \geqq 1$$

we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_2(\tau_U) \geqq \lfloor \tfrac{20+1-2}{4} \rfloor = 4C \quad \mathrm{ord}_3(\tau_U) \geqq \lfloor \tfrac{20+1-2}{6} \rfloor = 3.$$

Hence, thanks to the expression in 2.2.5, all the coefficients $D^{(1)}_{10m}/(10m)$ are divisible by 4!. This and (11.1.3) yield (11.1.4).

If $p = 10m+1$ is a prime number, then the coefficient f_{p-1} coincides with $(2,2)$-entry of the Hasse-Witt matrix with respect to the natural basis of the differential forms of the first kind on C of reduction modulo p:

$$f_{p-1} = -\binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{\frac{p-1}{10}} \equiv A_p \mod p. \tag{11.1.5}$$

See also 18.1.

Under the consideration above, by applying Clarke's theorem 2.3.1 to the Laurent development of the function $u \mapsto -y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$ at $u = 0$, namely to

$$\frac{1}{s} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D^{(1)}_{10m} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m)!}, \tag{11.1.6}$$

we have

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{4!}\frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} \in \ - \sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 5\\ 10m=a(p-1)}} \frac{(4!a)|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a + \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}]. \tag{11.1.7}}$$

11.2. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$ and of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2/5}$. We prove here a relation between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y^{2/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$ for $y^2 = x^5 - 1$.

$$s = \frac{-1}{y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}} \quad (= u + \cdots),$$

we let

$$\frac{1}{s^2} = y(\boldsymbol{u})^{2/5} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} \qquad (D^{(2)}_0 = 1). \tag{11.2.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.2.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (11.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by s^2, we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2} = \frac{1}{s^2} \frac{ds}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} s^{10m-2} \frac{ds}{du}. \tag{11.2.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) du = \left(-\frac{1}{s} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-1}}{10m-1} \right) + \frac{1}{u}.$$

By equating this with (11.2.2), and by using (11.1.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-1}}{10m-1} \right) + \frac{1}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D^{(1)}_0 = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-1}}{(10m-1)!} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-1}}{10m-1}.$$

The right hand side belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 8.1.2 (3) and (8.3.6). Adding this with (11.1.3), we conclude that

$$\frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} + \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \in (10\cdot 1 - 2)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}]. \tag{11.2.4}$$

11.3. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{y(u)^{2/5}}$ and of $\boldsymbol{y(u)^{3/5}}$. We prove here a relation between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y^{3/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{2/5}$ for $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. For

$$s = \frac{-1}{y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}} \quad (= u + \cdots),$$

we let

$$\frac{1}{s^3} = -y(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/5} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} \qquad (D^{(3)}_0 = 1). \tag{11.3.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-2)!} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.3.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (11.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by s^3, we have

$$\frac{1}{s^3} = \frac{1}{s^3} \frac{ds}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} s^{10m-3} \frac{ds}{du} \tag{11.3.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) du = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{s^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-2}}{10m-2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{u^2}.$$

By equating this with (11.3.2), and by using (11.2.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-2}}{10m-2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D^{(2)}_0 = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and, by multiplying 2, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-2}}{(10m-2)!} = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-2}}{10m-2}.$$

The right hand side belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 8.1.2 (3) and (8.3.6). This and (11.1.3) show that

$$\frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} + \frac{2D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} \in (10 \cdot 1 - 3)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}]. \tag{11.3.4}$$

11.4. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{y(u)^{3/5}}$ and of $\boldsymbol{y(u)^{4/5}}$. We prove here a relation between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y^{4/5}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{3/5}$ for $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. For

$$s = \frac{-1}{y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}} \quad (= u + \cdots),$$

we let

$$\frac{1}{s^4} = y(\boldsymbol{u})^{4/5} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} \qquad (D_0^{(4)} = 1). \tag{11.4.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^4} - \frac{1}{u^4}\right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!}\right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!}\end{aligned} \tag{11.4.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (11.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by s^4, we have

$$\frac{1}{s^4} = \frac{1}{s^4}\frac{ds}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} s^{10m-4} \frac{ds}{du}. \tag{11.4.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^4} - \frac{1}{u^4}\right) du = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{s^3} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{u^3} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-3}}{10m-3}.$$

By equating this with (11.4.2), and by using (11.3.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(\frac{-1}{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-3}}{10m-3}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{u^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $D_0^{(3)} = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and, by multiplying 3, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{3D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-3}}{(10m-3)!} = 3\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-3}}{10m-3}.$$

The right hand side of this belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 8.1.2 (3) and (8.3.6). This and (11.1.3) show that

$$\frac{D_{10m}^{(3)}}{(10m)_3} + \frac{3D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in (10 \cdot 1 - 4)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}]. \tag{11.4.4}$$

11.5. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^{4/5}$ and of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})$. We prove here a relation between the Hurwitz coefficients of $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $y(\boldsymbol{u})^{4/5}$ for $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. For

$$s = \frac{-1}{y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}} \quad (= u + \cdots)$$

we let

$$\frac{1}{s^5} = -y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(5)}}{(10m)_5} \frac{u^{10m-5}}{(10m-5)!} \qquad (D_0^{(5)} = 1). \tag{11.5.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^5} - \frac{1}{u^5} \right) du &= \int_0^u \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(5)}}{(10m)_5} \frac{u^{10m-5}}{(10m-5)!} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(5)}}{(10m)_5} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.5.2}$$

On the other hand, after differentiating (11.1.1) with respect to u, dividing by s^5, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{s^5} = \frac{1}{s^5}\frac{ds}{du} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} s^{10m-5} \frac{ds}{du}. \tag{11.5.3}$$

Namely,

$$\int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{s^5} - \frac{1}{u^5} \right) du = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{s^4} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{u^4} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-4}}{10m-4}.$$

By equating this with (11.5.2), and by using (11.4.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(5)}}{(10m)_5} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} \\ &\qquad = \left(\frac{-1}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-4}}{10m-4} \right) + \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{u^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_0^{(4)} = 1$, we can remove the terms of negative degree, and, by multiplying 4, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{4D_{10m}^{(5)}}{(10m)_5} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!} = 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{3}{5}}{m} \frac{s^{10m-4}}{10m-4}.$$

The right hand side of this belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle$ because of 8.1.2 (3) and (8.3.6). This and (11.1.3) shows that

$$\frac{D_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} + \frac{4D_{10m}^{(5)}}{(10m)_5} \in (10\cdot 1 - 5)!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}] \tag{11.5.4}$$

11.6. The Clarke type theorem on $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$. The results we have obtained ((11.2.4), (11.3.4), (11.4.4), (11.5.4)) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{D^{(4)}_{10m}}{(10m)_4} + 4\frac{D^{(5)}_{10m}}{(10m)_5} &\in 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}],\\
\frac{D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} + 3\frac{D^{(4)}_{10m}}{(10m)_4} &\in 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}],\\
\frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} + 2\frac{D^{(3)}_{10m}}{(10m)_3} &\in 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}],\\
\frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} + \frac{D^{(2)}_{10m}}{(10m)_2} &\in 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}].
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up these results, we have

(11.6.1) $$\frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} - 4!\frac{D^{(5)}_{10m}}{(10m)_5} \in 4!\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}].$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{4!}\frac{D^{(1)}_{10m}}{10m} - \frac{D^{(5)}_{10m}}{(10m)_5} \in \ \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}].$$

Since $y(\boldsymbol{u}) = -1/s(\boldsymbol{u})^5$ we see

(11.6.2) $$\frac{D_{10m}}{10m} = \frac{D^{(5)}_{10m}}{(10m)_5}.$$

This and 11.1.7 show that the aimed result of this section, that is

(11.6.3) $$\boxed{\frac{D_{10m}}{(10m)} \in \ -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \mod 5\\ 10m=a(p-1)}} \frac{(4!a|_p)^{-1}\text{mod } p^{1+\text{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\text{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a + \mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{5}].}$$

$\boxed{12}$ The Clarke type theorem on $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})$ over $\mathbf{Z}$.

12.1. Relation between coefficients of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and of $\boldsymbol{x}^2(\boldsymbol{u})$. Let

$$x^2(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{t^4} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \frac{u^{10m-4}}{(10m-4)!}. \tag{12.1.1}$$

If $D = d/du = (2y/x)d/dx$, then

$$D(x^2) = 2xDx = 2x\tfrac{2y}{x} = 4y. \tag{12.1.2}$$

Hence

$$\frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} = 4\frac{D_{10m}}{10m}. \tag{12.1.3}$$

Therefore, (10.5.3) and (11.6.3) show that the denominator of $C_{10m}^{(4)}/(10m)_4$ does not contain any power of 2, and that $C_{10m}^{(4)}/(10m)_4$ has the property that

$$\boxed{\frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} \in \; -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 5\\ 10m=a(p-1)}} \frac{(3!a)|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a + \mathbf{Z}.} \tag{12.1.4}$$

Furthermore, since $\frac{1}{4}\frac{C_{10m}^{(4)}}{(10m)_4} = \frac{D_{10m}}{10m}$ by (12.1.3), we see that

$$\frac{D_{10m}}{10m} \in \mathbf{Z}\Big[\frac{1}{5}, \ \frac{1}{p} \ ; \ p \equiv 1 \bmod 5, \ p-1|10m\Big] \subset \mathbf{Z}_{(2)},$$

and that the denominator of $D_{10m}/(10m)$ does not contain any power of 2. Thus the numerator of $C_{10m}^{(4)}/(10m)_4$ is divisible by 4. This consideration and (11.6.3) give rise to

$$\frac{D_{10m}}{10m} \in \; -\sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 5\\ 10m=a(p-1)}} \frac{(4!a)|_p{}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a + \mathbf{Z}. \tag{12.1.5}$$

This is the second formula in 6.1.1.

12.2. From $\boldsymbol{x}^2(\boldsymbol{u})$ to $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$. Finally, we use

$$D^2x = D\frac{2y}{x} = 6x^2 + \frac{4}{x^3} \quad \left(D = \tfrac{d}{du} = \tfrac{2y}{x}\tfrac{d}{dx} \right). \tag{12.2.1}$$

Operating D to the differential equation (5.2.1), we have

$$D^2\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big) = 3\frac{1}{x}\Big(D\frac{1}{x}\Big)^2 - 10. \tag{12.2.2}$$

Therefore $1/x(\boldsymbol{u}) \in \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle$ by 8.1.3 (2), and also $1/x^3 \in \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle$. These facts were proved in (8.2.4) too. Thus, we see that

$$\frac{C_{10m}}{10m} = 6 \cdot \frac{C^{(4)}_{10m}}{(10m)_4} + \text{“an integer”}. \tag{12.2.3}$$

Because of (12.1.4), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{10m}}{10m} &= 3!\frac{C^{(4)}_{10m}}{(10m)_4} + \text{“an integer”} \\ &= G_{10m} - \sum_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod 5 \\ 10m=a(p-1)}} \frac{{a|_p}^{-1} \bmod p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}}{p^{1+\mathrm{ord}_p a}} {A_p}^a \end{aligned} \tag{12.2.4}$$

with a suitable $G_{10m} \in \mathbf{Z}$. This is the desired first formula in 6.1.1.

13 Proof of the Kummer type congruence relation (Yasuda)

Now we start to prove 7.1.1 (and 7.2.1) following Yasuda [**Ya2**].

13.1. 13.1. Honda's theorem and formal groups. We show that there exists formal group over $\mathbf{Z}$ such that its formal logarithm is (10.1.1) (or (11.1.1)).

Proposition 13.1.1. *Suppose p be a fixed prime number. Let t be an indeterminate and let $u(t) \in \mathbf{Q}_p[[t]]$. If there exists $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that*

$$pu(t) - \beta u(t^p) \in p\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]] \tag{13.1.2}$$

then the formal group law F given by

$$F(t_1, t_2) := u^{-1}(u(t_1) + u(t_2)) \tag{13.1.3}$$

is defined over $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (i.e. $\in \mathbf{Z}_p[[t_1, t_2]]$). Here $u^{-1}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}_p[[t]]$ means the unique power series such that $u^{-1}(u(t)) = t$. In particular, if $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, then we have

$$F(\alpha t) = u^{-1}(\alpha u(t)) \in \alpha t + t^2\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]. \tag{13.1.4}$$

This is obtained by applying Honda's theorem [**Ho**, p.223, Theorem 2] as $n = 1$, $q = p$, $P = 1$, $u = p - \beta T$, $f = u(t)$. We can apply this theorem to $t \mapsto u = u_g$ of (10.1.1) and $s \mapsto u$ of (11.1.1). To do so, we introduce the p-adic Γ-function

$$\Gamma_p : \mathbf{Z}_p \to {\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\times}. \tag{13.1.5}$$

This function satisfies, for any positive integer n, that

$$\Gamma_p(n) = (-1)^n \prod_{\substack{1 \leqq j < n \\ p \nmid j}} j. \tag{13.1.6}$$

The most important properties are that

$$\Gamma_p(z+1) = \begin{cases} -z\Gamma_p(z) & (z \notin p\mathbf{Z}_p) \\ -\Gamma_p(z) & (z \in p\mathbf{Z}_p) \end{cases} \tag{13.1.7}$$

and that, for any positive integer ν,

$$z \equiv w \mod p^{\nu}\mathbf{Z}_p \ \text{ implies } \ \Gamma_p(z) \equiv \Gamma_p(w) \mod p^{\nu}\mathbf{Z}_p. \tag{13.1.8}$$

For the details, see [**Mo**] or [**R**].

Denoting by $u(t)$ the power series development of

$$u(= u_g) = \int_{\infty}^{(x,y)} \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{2y}$$

with respect to $t = -x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ we claim that

Lemma 13.1.9. *For the curve* $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$ (*resp.* $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$) *and a prime* $p \equiv 1 \mod 2g+1$ (*resp.* $p \equiv 1 \mod 4g$),

$$\beta_p = -(-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)} \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{4g+1}{4g+2})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{2g+2}{4g+2})} \quad (\in \mathbf{Z}_p{}^{\times}).$$

$$\left(\textit{resp.}\ \ \beta_p = \ -(-1)^{(p-1)/(4g)} \ \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{4g-1}{4g})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{2g+1}{4g})} \quad (\in \mathbf{Z}_p{}^{\times}).\right)$$

Then the series satisfies

$$pu(t) - \beta_p u(t^p) \in p\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]. \tag{13.1.10}$$

The similar formula is satisfied by the power series development $s = -y^{-\frac{1}{2g+1}}$ *with respect to* u.

Proof. For simplicity, we prove the statement only for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$ ($g = 2$). Let $f_{10n}/(10n+1) = [t^{10n+1}]u(t)$. Then, as is stated in (10.1.2), $f_{10n} = (-1)^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n}$. It suffice for us to prove that, if $p(10m+1) = 10n+1$, then

$$p\frac{f_{10n}}{10n+1} - \beta_p \frac{f_{10m}}{10m+1} \in p\mathbf{Z}_p.$$

We notice that $\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil = \lceil m + \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{10p} \rceil = m$. This and

$$p(2\lceil \tfrac{n}{p} \rceil - 1) \leqq 2n - 1 \leqq p(2\lfloor \tfrac{n}{p} \rfloor - 1) \leqq p\big(2(\lceil \tfrac{n}{p} \rceil + 1) - 1\big)$$

show that the largest odd integer divisible by p not exceed $2n-1$ is $p(2m-1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&p\frac{f_{10n}}{10n+1} - \beta_p \frac{f_{10m}}{10m+1} \\
&\quad = p(-1)^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} \frac{1}{10n+1} - \beta_p \cdot (-1)^m \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \frac{1}{10m+1} \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{10m+1} \left\{ (-1)^{pm + \frac{p-1}{10}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{2j-1}{2}\right)}{n!} - \beta_p \cdot (-1)^m \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(-\frac{2j-1}{2}\right)}{m!} \right\} \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{m+\frac{p-1}{10}}}{10m+1} \left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1,\, p\nmid 2j-1}^{n} \left(-\frac{2j-1}{2}\right) \ \prod_{k=1}^{m} \left(-\frac{p(2k-1)}{2}\right)}{\big(\prod_{j=1,\, p\nmid j}^{n} j\big)\big(\prod_{k=1}^{m} pk\big)} \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left. - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{10}} \beta_p \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(-\frac{2j-1}{2}\right)}{m!} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (13.1.7) repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(-1)^{m+\frac{p-1}{10}}}{10m+1} \left\{ \frac{\frac{(-1)^n \mathit{\Gamma}_p(-\frac{1}{2}+1)}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(-\frac{2n-1}{2})} \ p^m \prod_{k=1}^{m} \left(-\frac{2k-1}{2}\right)}{(-1)^{n+1} \mathit{\Gamma}_p(n+1) \ p^m m!} \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left. - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{10}} \beta_p \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(-\frac{2j-1}{2}\right)}{m!} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{m+\frac{p-1}{10}}}{10m+1}\left\{ - \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(n+1)\mathit{\Gamma}_p(-n+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(-\frac{2k-1}{2}\right)}{m!} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{9}{10})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{6}{10})} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(-\frac{2j-1}{2}\right)}{m!} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{m+\frac{p-1}{10}}}{10m+1}\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m}\left\{ - \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(-\frac{1}{10}p(10m+1)+\frac{9}{10})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(-\frac{1}{10}p(10m+1)+\frac{6}{10})} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{9}{10})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{6}{10})} \right\}.$$

Assume $p^{\nu}||(10m+1)$. Then (13.1.7) and (13.1.8) show

$$\frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(-\frac{1}{10}p(10m+1)+\frac{9}{10})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(-\frac{1}{10}p(10m+1)+\frac{6}{10})} \equiv \frac{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{9}{10})\mathit{\Gamma}_p(\frac{6}{10})} \mod p^{\nu+1}\mathbf{Z}_p.$$

It is shown by 1.3.3 that

$$\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{m} \in \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Therefore

$$p\frac{f_{10n}}{10n+1} - \beta_p\frac{f_{10m}}{10m+1} \in p\mathbf{Z}_p.$$

and the proof has completed. □

Remark 13.1.11. (1) (Yasuda) Regarding the map $\iota : C \to J$ to be defined over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, we denote its formal completion at $\infty \mapsto$ "the origin of J" by $\hat{\iota} : \hat{C} \to \hat{J}$. Because of the action (5.2.2) (resp. (5.3.2)), $\hat{J}$ is decomposed into a product of 1-dimensional formal groups. The composite map $\hat{\pi} \circ \hat{\iota} : \hat{C} \to G$ of $\hat{\iota}$ with the projection $\pi : \hat{J} \to G$, where G is a certain factor of the product, would be an isomorphism of formal groups.
(2) The height of the formal group F over $\mathbf{Z}_p$ associated to $u(t)$ above is 1, namely, there exists $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$ such that $u^{-1}(pu(t)) \equiv bt^p \mod p\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]$. This fact follows from

$$pu(t) = p\big(t + \cdots + f_{p-1}\frac{t^p}{p} + \cdots\big)$$

and the fact $f_{p-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$ shown by (10.1.5). The same fact is seen for the formal group associated to the series development of $s \mapsto u$.

13.2. Hochschilt's formula and Honda's theorem. We recall the following Lemma called Hochschilt's formula in order to show 13.2.2 below.

Proposition 13.2.1. *Let p be a prime, R be a commutative ring of characteristic p, and D be a derivation from R to itself. Then, for $b \in R$, we have*

$$(bD)^p = b^p D^p + \big((bD)^{p-1}(b)\big)\cdot D.$$

Since this is described in [**Ma**, p.197, Theorem 25.5] with a detailed proof, we omit it.

While the following general equality is proved by using the formula above, rather weak form for the case of $g=1$ is described in [**G**] by a different way.

Proposition 13.2.2. *For the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$ (resp. $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$), let $t = t(u)$ and $s = s(u)$ be the power series of* 8.2.1 *and of* 8.3.1, *respectively. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)$ (resp. $\bmod 4g$) be a prime. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]$ or $\varphi \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[s]]$ then*

$$\Big(\Big(\frac{d}{du}\Big)^p - A_p\frac{d}{du}\Big)\varphi \in p\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]] \quad \text{or} \quad \in p\mathbf{Z}_p[[s]].$$

Proof. The derivation $D = \dfrac{d}{dt}$ on the ring $\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]$ induces a derivation from $\mathbf{F}_p[[t]]$ to itself. In the sequel of this proof, the symbol "$=$" means the equality in $\mathbf{F}_p[[t]]$. First of all, we pay attention to the fact

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{du}{dt} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n}t^{10n} \in 1 + t\,\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}][[t]], \\ &\frac{dt}{du} \in 1 + t\,\mathbf{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}][[t]] \end{aligned} \tag{13.2.3}$$

given by (10.1.1) and (10.1.3). By using 13.2.1 for this $b := \frac{dt}{du}$ and D above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Big(\frac{d}{du}\Big)^p u \\ &= (bD)^p u \\ &= \big(b^p D^p + ((bD)^{p-1}(b))\cdot D\big)u \\ &= \Big(\frac{dt}{du}\Big)^p \frac{d^p u}{dt^p} + \Big\{\Big(\frac{dt}{du}\frac{d}{dt}\Big)^{p-1}\frac{dt}{du}\Big\}\frac{du}{dt} \\ &= \Big(\frac{dt}{du}\Big)^p \frac{d^p u}{dt^p} + \Big\{\Big(\frac{d}{du}\Big)^{p-1}\frac{dt}{du}\Big\}\frac{du}{dt} \\ &= \Big(\frac{dt}{du}\Big)^p \frac{d^p u}{dt^p} + \frac{d^p t}{du^p}\frac{du}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{d^p t}{du^p} = -\Big(\frac{du}{dt}\Big)^{-p-1}\frac{d^p u}{dt^p}. \tag{13.2.4}$$

By using 13.2.1 again for φ, D, and b, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{d}{du}\Big)^p\varphi &= (bD)^p\varphi\\ &= \big(b^pD^p + \big((bD)^{p-1}(b)\big)\cdot D\big)\varphi\\ &= \Big(\frac{dt}{du}\Big)^p\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\Big)^p\varphi + \Big(\Big(\frac{dt}{du}\frac{d}{dt}\Big)^{p-1}\frac{dt}{du}\Big)\frac{d}{dt}\varphi\\ &= \Big(\frac{du}{dt}\Big)^{-p}\frac{d^p}{dt^p}\varphi + \frac{d^pt}{du^p}\frac{du}{dt}\frac{d}{du}\varphi\\ &= \frac{d^pt}{du^p}\frac{du}{dt}\frac{d}{dt}\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (13.2.3). By substituting (13.2.4) into the last formula, we obtain

$$\Big(\frac{d}{du}\Big)^p\varphi = -\Big(\frac{du}{dt}\Big)^{-p}\frac{d^pu}{dt^p}\cdot\frac{d}{du}\varphi. \tag{13.2.5}$$

Since $(\frac{d}{dt})^p\mathbf{Z}_p[[t]] \in p\mathbf{Z}_p[t]]$, by applying $(\frac{d}{dt})^p$ to (13.1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^pu}{dt^p} &= \Big(\frac{d}{dt}\Big)^{p-1}\big(\beta_pt^{p-1}u'(t^p)\big)\\ &= (p-1)!\ u'(t^p)\\ &= -\beta_pu'(t)^p.\end{aligned}$$

The properties (13.1.6) and (13.1.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned}A_p &= (-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)}\binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{4g+2}}\\ &= (-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)}(-1)\frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{p-1}{2}+1)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{p-1}{4g+2}+1)\Gamma_p(\frac{p-1}{2}-\frac{p-1}{4g+2}+1)}\\ &= -(-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)}\frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{p+4g+1}{4g+2})\Gamma_p(\frac{2gp+2g+2}{4g+2})}\\ &\equiv -(-1)^{(p-1)/(4g+2)}\frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{4g+1}{4g+2})\Gamma_p(\frac{2g+2}{4g+2})} \mod p\\ &= \beta_p.\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\frac{d^pu}{dt^p} = -A_p\Big(\frac{du}{dt}\Big)^p.$$

To Substitute this into (13.2.5) shows

$$\Big(\frac{d}{du}\Big)^p\varphi = A_p\frac{d}{du}\varphi$$

as desired. We can prove the statement for s = s(u) by the same argument.

Remark 13.2.6. When the proof of Yasuda was not yet given, we used in order to prove 13.2.2 a certain weaker formula than 13.2.1 combined with a method of Carlitz in ([**Ca1**]). For convenience to the reader, the weaker formula is mentioned in 18.3.

13.3. Proof of the Kummer type congruence relation. The tools for the proof of 7.1.1 (and 7.2.1) have been completely prepared. To avoid confusion, if we regard

$$x(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{C_{10n}}{10n} \frac{u^{10n-2}}{(10n-2)!}$$

to be an element in $\mathbf{Q}_p[[u]]$, we denote this by

$$x\langle u \rangle (:= x(\boldsymbol{u}))$$

Let $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be a primitive $(p-1)$-st root of 1. Then, as

$$x\langle u \rangle - \xi^2 x\langle \xi u \rangle = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p-1 \nmid 10n}}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\xi^{10n})C_{10n}}{10n} \frac{u^{10n-2}}{(10n-2)!} \tag{13.3.1}$$

it suffice to prove for any positive integer a and $D = d/du$ that

$$(D^p - A_p D)^a (x\langle u \rangle - \xi^2 x\langle \xi u \rangle) \in p^a \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u \rangle\rangle. \tag{13.3.2}$$

Indeed, if $10n \geqq a+2$ and $(p-1) \nmid 10n$, then the coefficient of $u^{10n-a-2}/(10n-a-2)!$ is

$$(1-\xi^{10n}) \sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{r}{a} (-A_p)^{a-r} \frac{C_{10n+r(p-1)}}{10n+r(p-1)}$$

and $1-\xi^{10n} \notin p\mathbf{Z}_p$. Thanks to 13.2.2, it suffice for proving (13.3.2) to prove

$$x\langle u(t) \rangle - \xi^2 x\langle \xi u(t) \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]. \tag{13.3.3}$$

If we set

$$\begin{aligned} F_\xi(t) &= u^{-1}(\xi u(t)) \quad (u^{-1} \text{ is the formal inverse series of } t \mapsto u) \\ &= t(\xi u(t)), \end{aligned} \tag{13.3.4}$$

then 15.3.4 and 15.3.9 yield that

$$x\langle u(t) \rangle - \xi^2 x\langle \xi u(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{\xi^2}{t(\xi u(t))^2} = \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{\xi^2}{(\xi t + \cdots)^2} \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]$$

because $F_\xi(t) \in \xi t + t^2 \mathbf{Z}_p[[t]]$. Hence, 7.1.1 have been proved. $\square$

$\boxed{14}$ Other Kummer type congruence relations.

In this Section we do not restrict to the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$, and describe hyperelliptic curves defined by either equation of

$$y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1, \qquad y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x.$$

14.1. On generalized Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers of higher order. Let $t = -1/x(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/2}$ and $s = -1/y(\boldsymbol{u})^{1/5}$ as usual. We prove the Kummer type congruence relations for the Hurwitz coefficients of $t^{-\nu}$ $(1 \leqq \nu \leqq 4g+2)$ generalizing the congruence for $t^{-2} = x(\boldsymbol{u})$ proved in the Section 13.

The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \mathbf{1}$. For the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - 1$, we recall the numbers $C^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n}$ (defined in the Section 10) and $D^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n}$ (defined in the Section 11). Namely, for $\nu = 1, 2, \cdots$, we let

$$(14.1.1)\qquad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^\nu} &= \frac{1}{u^\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n}}{((4g+2)n)_\nu} \frac{u^{(4g+2)n-\nu}}{((4g+2)n-\nu)!}, \\ \frac{1}{s^\nu} &= \frac{1}{u^\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n}}{((4g+2)n)_\nu} \frac{u^{(4g+2)n-\nu}}{((4g+2)n-\nu)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, if $(4g+2) \not| n$ then we assume $C^{(\nu)}_n = D^{(\nu)}_n = 0$.

Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod (4g+2)$ be a prime number, and $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be a primitive $(p-1)$-st root of 1. By using

$$(14.1.2)\qquad \frac{1}{t(u)^\nu} - \xi^\nu \frac{1}{t(\xi u)^\nu} = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p-1 \not| (4g+2)n}}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\xi^{(4g+2)n}) C^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n}}{((4g+2)n)_\nu} \frac{u^{(4g+2)n-\nu}}{((4g+2)n-\nu)!}$$

instead of (13.3.1), we have the following.

Theorem 14.1.3. *Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod (2g+1)$ be a prime number, a be a positive integer. Let ν be an integer such that $1 \leqq \nu \leqq 4g+2$. Assume $(4g+2)n \geqq a+\nu$ and $p-1 \not| (4g+2)n$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{r}{a} (-A_p)^{a-r} \frac{C^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n+r(p-1)}}{((4g+2)n+r(p-1))_\nu} \equiv 0 \mod p^a,$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{r}{a} (-A_p)^{a-r} \frac{D^{(\nu)}_{(4g+2)n+r(p-1)}}{((4g+2)n+r(p-1))_\nu} \equiv 0 \mod p^a.$$

The case of $\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{u})^2 = \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})^{2g+1} - \boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$. For the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$ and $\nu = 1$, $2, \cdots$, we define $C^{(\nu)}_{4gn}$ and $D^{(\nu)}_{4gn}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^\nu} &= \frac{1}{u^\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{(\nu)}_{4gn}}{(4gn)_\nu} \frac{u^{4gn-\nu}}{(4gn-\nu)!}, \\ \frac{1}{s^\nu} &= \frac{1}{u^\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D^{(\nu)}_{4gn}}{(4gn)_\nu} \frac{u^{4gn-\nu}}{(4gn-\nu)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{14.1.4}$$

We also assume that, if $(4g+2) \not| n$ then $C^{(\nu)}_n = D^{(\nu)}_n = 0$.

Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4g$ be a prime, and $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be a primitive $(p-1)$-st root of 1. By using

$$\frac{1}{t(u)^\nu} - \xi^\nu \frac{1}{t(\xi u)^\nu} = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p-1 \not| 4gn}}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\xi^{4gn}) C^{(\nu)}_{4gn}}{(4gn)_\nu} \frac{u^{4gn-\nu}}{(4gn-\nu)!} \tag{14.1.5}$$

instead of (13.3.1), we have

Theorem 14.1.6. *Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4g$ be a prime number, a be a positive integer. Let ν be an integer such that $1 \leqq \nu \leqq 4g+2$. Assume $4gn = a + \nu$ and $p-1 \not| 4gn$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{r}{a} (-A_p)^{a-r} \frac{C^{(\nu)}_{4gn+r(p-1)}}{(4gn+r(p-1))_\nu} &\equiv 0 \mod p^a, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{r}{a} (-A_p)^{a-r} \frac{D^{(\nu)}_{4gn+r(p-1)}}{(4gn+r(p-1))_\nu} &\equiv 0 \mod p^a. \end{aligned}$$

14.2. On the Hurwitz coefficients of $\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{u}_g)$ and of $\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{u}_g)$. We consider now the Hurwitz coefficients $c_m^{(h)}$, $d_m^{(h)}$ of powers of $t = t(u)$ in 8.2.1 and $s = s(t)$ in 8.3.1, namely, those of

$$\frac{t(u)^h}{h!} = \sum_{m=h}^{\infty} c_m^{(h)} \frac{u^m}{m!}, \qquad \frac{s(u)^h}{h!} = \sum_{m=h}^{\infty} d_m^{(h)} \frac{u^m}{m!} \quad \in \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle. \tag{14.2.1}$$

Here, obviously $c_h^{(h)} = d_h^{(h)} = 1$. The results are as follows.

Lemma 14.2.2. *If $\nu > 0$ and $m \geqq a+1$, then*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} c_{m+r(p-1)}^{(h)} \equiv 0 \mod p^a,$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} d_{m+r(p-1)}^{(h)} \equiv 0 \mod p^a.$$

Proof. By 8.1.2 (2), (3), and 8.2.1, we have

$$\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle = \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle. \tag{14.2.3}$$

For $D = d/du$ and any integer $h \geqq 1$, we show that

$$(D^p - A_p D)\Big(\frac{t^h}{h!}\Big) \in b + p\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle, \qquad (b \in \mathbf{Z}_p) \tag{14.2.4}$$

by induction. If $h = 1$, this is checked by 13.2.2 and (14.2.3). Since

$$\begin{aligned} D(D^p - A_p D)\Big(\frac{t^{h+1}}{(h+1)!}\Big) &= (D^p - A_p D)\Big(\frac{t^h}{h!}\frac{dt}{du}\Big) \\ &= \Big(D^p \frac{t^h}{h!}\Big)\frac{dt}{du} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} \Big(D^{p-j}\frac{t^h}{h!}\Big)\Big(D^j \frac{dt}{du}\Big) + \frac{t^h}{h!}\Big(D^p \frac{dt}{du}\Big) \\ &\qquad - A_p D\Big(\frac{t^h}{h!}\Big)\frac{dt}{du} - A_p \frac{t^h}{h!}\Big(D\frac{dt}{du}\Big) \\ &\in \Big\{(D^p - A_p D)\frac{u^h}{h!}\Big\}\frac{dt}{du} + \frac{t^h}{h!}\Big\{(D^p - A_p D)\frac{dt}{du}\Big\} + p\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle \end{aligned}$$

by using the hypothesis of induction, (13.2.4), and 13.2.2, we see that this belongs to $p\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle$. Hence, we have

$$(D^p - A_p D)\Big(\frac{t^{h+1}}{(h+1)!}\Big) \in b + p\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle \qquad (b \in \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Thus, we have concluded (14.2.4). Using (14.2.4) repeatedly, we have, for $a > 0$, that

$$(D^p - A_p D)^a \Big(\frac{t^h}{h!}\Big) \in b + p^a\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\langle u\rangle\rangle \qquad (b \in \mathbf{Z}_p). \tag{14.2.5}$$

Because of

$$(D^p - A_p D)^a \Big(\frac{t^h}{h!}\Big) = \sum_{m=h}^{\infty} \Big\{ \sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} c_{m+r(p-1)}^{(h)} \Big\} D^a \frac{u^m}{m!},$$

we obtain the first formula. The second one is proved similarly. □

14.3. The Vandiver-Carlitz type congruence relation. In this subsection, we prove the congruence relation of Vandiver-Carlitz type. Vandiver gave in [**V**] such the congruence for Bernoulli numbers, and Carlitz investigated in [**Ca4**] for Hurwitz numbers.

Proposition 14.3.1. *Let* $a > 0$ *and* $n = a + 1$ *are integers. For the numbers* $\{C_n^{(\nu)}\}$ *and* $\{D_n^{(\nu)}\}$ *defined in* (14.1.1) *or* (14.1.4)*, we have*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} C_{n+r(p-1)}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \mod p^{a-\nu},$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} D_{n+r(p-1)}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \mod p^{a-\nu}.$$

Remark 14.3.2. These congruence relations need not the condition $(p-1) \not| n$ in contrary to 7.1.1, 7.2.1, 14.1.3, 14.1.6. However, since 14.3.1 can be proved without (13.1.10) and 13.1.1, it would be not so deep results.

Proof. As in 10.1, we let $[t^{n+1}/(n+1)]u(t) = f_n$. Here we use still the notation $u = u_g$, $D = d/du$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m^{(1)} \frac{u^m}{m!} &= \frac{u}{t(u)} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m=\nu}^{\infty} f_h \frac{t^{h+1}}{h+1} \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_h h!}{h+1} \sum_{m=h}^{\infty} c_m^{(h)} \frac{u^m}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{h=0}^{m} \frac{f_h h!}{h+1} c_m^{(h)} \right) \frac{u^m}{m!}\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$C_m^{(1)} = \sum_{h=0}^{m} \frac{f_h h!}{h+1} c_m^{(h)}. \tag{14.3.3}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} C_{m+r(p-1)}^{(1)} &= \sum_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} \sum_{h=0}^{m+r(p-1)} \frac{f_h h!}{h+1} c_{m+r(p-1)}^{(h)} \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{m+r(p-1)} \frac{f_h h!}{h+1} \sum_{m=0}^{a} \binom{a}{r} (-A_p)^{a-r} c_{m+r(p-1)}^{(h)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $m \geqq a+1$, then the inner sum is divisible by p^a because of (14.2.2). If $p^w || (h+1)$ $(w \geqq 1)$, then $p^w - 1 \leqq h$, and $\mathrm{ord}_p(p^w-1)! \leqq \mathrm{ord}_p h!$. By (1.1.2), we see that

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(p^w-1)! = \frac{(p^w-1)-(p-1)w}{p-1} = p^{w-1} + p^{w-2} + \cdots + p + 1 - w.$$

In our situation, we may assume $p \leqq 3$. Hence, the above is $\geqq w$ if $w \geqq 2$. The worst case is when $w = 1$, so that

$$w \leqq \mathrm{ord}_p h! + 1, \qquad (w \geqq 1). \tag{14.3.4}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-A_p)^{a-r}C^{(1)}_{m+r(p-1)} \ \in\ p^{a-1}\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \qquad (m \geqq a+1). \tag{14.3.5}$$

This is just the case of $\nu = 1$ of the first formula in 14.3.1. The general case is proved similarly as follows. Since $u = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} f_h \frac{t^{h+1}}{h+1}$, we see

$$u^{\nu} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}t^{h+\nu}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^{\nu} &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}t^{h}\\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}\, h!\sum_{m=h}^{\infty} c^{(h)}_m\frac{u^m}{m!}\\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{h=0}^{m} h!\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}c^{(h)}_m\right)\frac{u^m}{m!},\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{t^{\nu}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(m)_\nu}\left(\sum_{h=0}^{m} h!\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}c^{(h)}_m\right)\frac{u^{m-\nu}}{(m-\nu)!}.$$

This development yields that

$$C^{(\nu)}_m = \sum_{h=0}^{m} h!\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}c^{(h)}_m. \tag{14.3.6}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-A_p)^{a-r}C^{(\nu)}_{n+r(p-1)} &= \sum_{h=0}^{m}\left(h!\sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2+\cdots\\+h_\nu=h}} \frac{f_{h_1}}{h_1+1}\frac{f_{h_2}}{h_2+1}\cdots\frac{f_{h_\nu}}{h_\nu+1}\right)\\ &\qquad\cdot\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{r}(-A_p)^{a-r}c^{(h)}_{n+r(p-1)}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{14.3.7}$$

If we assume $p^{w_j}||(h_j+1)$, then, as in (14.3.4), we conclude

$$w_1+\cdots+w_\nu \leqq \mathrm{ord}_p(h_1!h_2!\cdots h_\nu!)+\nu \leqq \mathrm{ord}_p(h!)+\nu$$

because $w_j \leqq \mathrm{ord}_p h_j!+1$. This shows that the right hand side of (14.3.7) is divisible by $p^{a-\nu}$. Thus, we have proved the first congruence in (14.3.1). The second one is proved similarly. □

15 Numerical examples for classical numbers

15.1. Bernoulli numbers. First several values of $C_{2n} = (-1)^{n-1}2^{2n}B_{2n}$ (B_{2n} is the $2n$-th Bernoulli number) of the curve $y^2 = x - 1$ ($g = 0$) are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^2B_2 &= \frac{1}{3}\cdot 2, & -2^4B_4 &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5}\cdot 2^3,\\
2^6B_6 &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 7}\cdot 2^5, & -2^8B_8 &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5}\cdot 2^7,\\
2^{10}B_{10} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 11}\cdot 2^9\cdot 5, & -2^{12}B_{12} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13}\cdot 2^{11}\cdot 691,\\
2^{14}B_{14} &= \frac{1}{3}\cdot 2^{15}\cdot 7, & -2^{16}B_{16} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 17}\cdot 2^{15}\cdot 3617,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{18}B_{18} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 19}\cdot 2^{17}\cdot 43867,\\
-2^{20}B_{20} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 11}\cdot 2^{19}\cdot 283\cdot 617,\\
2^{22}B_{22} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 23}\cdot 2^{21}\cdot 11\cdot 131\cdot 593,\\
-2^{24}B_{24} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13}\cdot 2^{23}\cdot 103\cdot 2294797,\\
2^{26}B_{26} &= \frac{1}{3}\cdot 2^{25}\cdot 13\cdot 657931,\\
-2^{28}B_{28} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 29}\cdot 2^{27}\cdot 7\cdot 9349\cdot 362903,\\
2^{30}B_{30} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 31}\cdot 2^{29}\cdot 5\cdot 1721\cdot 1001259881,\\
-2^{32}B_{32} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 17}\cdot 2^{31}\cdot 37\cdot 683\cdot 305065927,\\
2^{34}B_{34} &= \frac{1}{3}\cdot 2^{33}\cdot 2^{33}\cdot 17\cdot 151628697551,\\
-2^{36}B_{36} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 19\cdot 37}\cdot 2^{35}\cdot 26315271553053477373,\\
2^{38}B_{38} &= \frac{1}{3}\cdot 2^{37}\cdot 19\cdot 154210205991661,\\
-2^{40}B_{40} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 11\cdot 41}\cdot 2^{39}\cdot 137616929\cdot 1897170067619,\\
2^{42}B_{42} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 7\cdot 43}\cdot 2^{41}\cdot 1520097643918070802691,\\
-2^{44}B_{44} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 23}\cdot 2^{43}\cdot 11\cdot 59\cdot 8089\cdot 2947939\cdot 1798482437,\\
2^{46}B_{46} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 47}\cdot 2^{45}\cdot 23\cdot 383799511\cdot 67568238839737,\\
-2^{48}B_{48} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17}\cdot 2^{47}\cdot 653\cdot 56039\cdot 153289748932447906241,\\
2^{50}B_{50} &= \frac{1}{3\cdot 11}\cdot 2^{49}\cdot 5^2\cdot 417202699\cdot 47464429777438199.
\end{aligned}
$$

15.2. Hurwitz numbers for the curve $y^2 = x^3 - 1$. First several values of C_{6n} for the curve $y^2 = x^3 - 1$ ($g = 1$) are as follows:

$$C_6 = \frac{1}{7} \cdot 2^4 \cdot 3^2, \qquad C_{12} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13} \cdot 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2,$$

$$C_{18} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 19} \cdot 2^{16} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11, \qquad C_{24} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13} \cdot 2^{22} \cdot 3^{11} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17,$$

$$C_{30} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 31} \cdot 2^{28} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^6 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 23,$$

$$C_{36} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 37} \cdot 2^{34} \cdot 3^{17} \cdot 5^7 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 43,$$

$$C_{42} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 43} \cdot 2^{40} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5^8 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 431,$$

$$C_{48} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13} \cdot 2^{46} \cdot 3^{23} \cdot 5^8 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \cdot 313,$$

$$C_{54} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 19} \cdot 2^{52} \cdot 3^{26} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 11^4 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 1201,$$

$$C_{60} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{58} \cdot 3^{29} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 11^5 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 1823,$$

$$C_{66} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 67} \cdot 2^{64} \cdot 3^{32} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 11^6 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 79 \cdot 733,$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{72} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 37 \cdot 73} &\cdot 2^{70} \cdot 3^{35} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 11^6 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \\ &\cdot 1153 \cdot 13963 \cdot 29059, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{78} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 79} &\cdot 2^{76} \cdot 3^{38} \cdot 5^{15} \cdot 11^7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \\ &\cdot 2647111, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{84} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13 \cdot 43} &\cdot 2^{82} \cdot 3^{41} \cdot 5^{17} \cdot 11^7 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \\ &\cdot 8431097574437, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{90} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 19 \cdot 31} &\cdot 2^{88} \cdot 3^{44} \cdot 5^{19} \cdot 11^8 \cdot 17^5 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \\ &\cdot 83 \cdot 998039409083, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{96} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13 \cdot 97} &\cdot 2^{94} \cdot 3^{47} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 11^8 \cdot 17^5 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 47^2 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \\ &\cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 253013 \cdot 826151671, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{102} = \frac{1}{7 \cdot 103} &\cdot 2^{100} \cdot 3^{50} \cdot 5^{20} \cdot 11^9 \cdot 17^6 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^4 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 47^2 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \\ &\cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 433 \cdot 1493 \cdot 532620611, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{108} = \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 37 \cdot 109} &\cdot 2^{106} \cdot 3^{53} \cdot 5^{22} \cdot 11^9 \cdot 17^6 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 47^2 \cdot 53^2 \\ &\cdot 59 \cdot 71 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 38543 \cdot 72745827951021097. \end{aligned}$$

15.3. Hurwitz numbers for the curve $y^2 = x^3 - x$. First several values of $C_{4n} = 2^{4n} E_{4n}$ (E_{4n} is the $4n$-th original Hurwitz number) for the curve $y^2 = x^3 - x$ $(g = 1)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^4 E_4 &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3, \\
2^8 E_8 &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2^7 \cdot 3, \\
2^{12} E_{12} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 13} \cdot 2^{11} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7, \\
2^{16} E_{16} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 17} \cdot 2^{15} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11, \\
2^{20} E_{20} &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2^{19} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11, \\
2^{24} E_{24} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 13} \cdot 2^{23} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 19, \\
2^{28} E_{28} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 29} \cdot 2^{27} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23, \\
2^{32} E_{32} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 17} \cdot 2^{31} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 223, \\
2^{36} E_{36} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 13 \cdot 37} \cdot 2^{35} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 61, \\
2^{40} E_{40} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{39} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 2381, \\
2^{44} E_{44} &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2^{43} \cdot 3^{15} \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 23 \cdot 31, \\
2^{48} E_{48} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17} \cdot 2^{47} \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 43 \cdot 1162253, \\
2^{52} E_{52} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 53} \cdot 2^{51} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 7^7 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 13 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 8887, \\
2^{56} E_{56} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 29} \cdot 2^{55} \cdot 3^{19} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^5 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 61 \cdot 52289, \\
2^{60} E_{60} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 13 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{59} \cdot 3^{22} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^5 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 2630966033, \\
2^{64} E_{64} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 17} \cdot 2^{63} \cdot 3^{22} \cdot 7^9 \cdot 11^5 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 109 \cdot 814903, \\
2^{68} E_{68} &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2^{67} \cdot 3^{24} \cdot 7^9 \cdot 11^6 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 80232721, \\
2^{72} E_{72} &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 13 \cdot 37 \cdot 73} \cdot 2^{71} \cdot 3^{25} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 11^6 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \\
&\quad \cdot 48316510111193, \\
2^{76} E_{76} &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2^{75} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 11^6 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 3469 \cdot 1330177.
\end{aligned}
$$

16 Numerical examples for new numbers

16.1. $x(u)$ of the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. First several values of C_{10n} for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$ ($g = 2$) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{10} &= \frac{1}{11} \cdot 2^{8} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7, \\
C_{20} &= \frac{-1}{11} \cdot 2^{18} \cdot 3^{9} \cdot 5^{4} \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17, \\
C_{30} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 31} \cdot 2^{28} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^{7} \cdot 7^{3} \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23^{2}, \\
C_{40} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{38} \cdot 3^{17} \cdot 5^{9} \cdot 7^{3} \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 31991, \\
C_{50} &= \frac{1}{11} \cdot 2^{47} \cdot 3^{23} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 7^{6} \cdot 13^{3} \cdot 17 \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 4999, \\
C_{60} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 31 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{59} \cdot 3^{28} \cdot 5^{15} \cdot 7^{6} \cdot 13^{4} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 351453077, \\
C_{70} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 71} \cdot 2^{72} \cdot 3^{31} \cdot 5^{16} \cdot 7^{9} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 6740734411, \\
C_{80} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{78} \cdot 3^{34} \cdot 5^{19} \cdot 7^{8} \cdot 13^{6} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{4} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 43 \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 109 \cdot 460903 \cdot 121384433, \\
C_{90} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 31} \cdot 2^{87} \cdot 3^{42} \cdot 5^{21} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 13^{6} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{4} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 131 \cdot 881 \cdot 2799606697, \\
C_{100} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 101} \cdot 2^{97} \cdot 3^{47} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 13^{7} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 10343 \cdot 1938718187373563, \\
C_{110} &= \frac{1}{11} \cdot 2^{107} \cdot 3^{51} \cdot 5^{27} \cdot 7^{13} \cdot 13^{8} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 37 \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\quad \cdot 3019729 \cdot 865724129494813, \\
C_{120} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{119} \cdot 3^{56} \cdot 5^{29} \cdot 7^{13} \cdot 13^{9} \cdot 17^{5} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{5} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 863833294249 \cdot 7389430581319, \\
C_{130} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 131} \cdot 2^{128} \cdot 3^{61} \cdot 5^{32} \cdot 7^{15} \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{5} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{5} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
&\quad \cdot 5303 \cdot 97785319 \cdot 175363749323953511, \\
C_{140} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 71} \cdot 2^{139} \cdot 3^{65} \cdot 5^{34} \cdot 7^{15} \cdot 13^{10} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{7} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 43^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
&\quad \cdot 137 \cdot 3191 \cdot 79927801 \cdot 292751932607759041533102 1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{150} = {} & \frac{1}{11 \cdot 31 \cdot 151} \cdot 2^{150} \cdot 3^{70} \cdot 5^{37} \cdot 7^{17} \cdot 13^{12} \cdot 17^{5} \cdot 19^{7} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 43^{3} \\
& \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
& \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 50951 \cdot 450127 \cdot 1464426640811 \cdot 58871719018640089, \\
C_{160} = {} & \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{158} \cdot 3^{72} \cdot 5^{40} \cdot 7^{17} \cdot 13^{12} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{8} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 43^{3} \\
& \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \cdot 137 \\
& \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 157 \cdot 5473709 \cdot 2254350262236573093155129320156570651\!1, \\
C_{170} = {} & \frac{1}{11} \cdot 2^{167} \cdot 3^{78} \cdot 5^{42} \cdot 7^{19} \cdot 13^{12} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 19^{8} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 43^{3} \\
& \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
& \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \\
& \cdot 587 \cdot 22573 \cdot 18793 \cdot 246289 \cdot 3112035453765803586749353\!87, \\
C_{180} = {} & \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 31 \cdot 61 \cdot 181} \cdot 2^{177} \cdot 3^{87} \cdot 5^{45} \cdot 7^{19} \cdot 13^{15} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 19^{9} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 29^{6} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 43^{4} \\
& \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
& \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \\
& \cdot 239 \cdot 1471 \cdot 1579 \cdot 70309992216886670658617423230168431387\!07.
\end{aligned}$$

Each C_{10n} is writen by a certain integer G_{10n} (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{10} &= \frac{6}{11} + G_{10},\\
C_{20} &= \frac{6^2}{11} + G_{20},\\
C_{30} &= \frac{6^3}{11} + \frac{10}{31} + G_{30},\\
C_{40} &= \frac{6^4}{11} + \frac{7}{41} + G_{40},\\
C_{50} &= \frac{6^5}{11} + G_{50},\\
C_{60} &= \frac{6^6}{11} + \frac{10^2}{31} + \frac{1}{61} + G_{60},\\
C_{70} &= \frac{6^7}{11} + \frac{32}{71} + G_{70},\\
C_{80} &= \frac{6^8}{11} + \frac{7^2}{41} + G_{80},\\
C_{90} &= \frac{6^9}{11} + \frac{10^3}{31} + G_{90},\\
C_{100} &= \frac{6^{10}}{11} + \frac{46}{101} + G_{100},\\
C_{110} &= \frac{6^{11}}{11} + G_{110},\\
C_{120} &= \frac{6^{12}}{11} + \frac{10^4}{31} + \frac{7^3}{41} + \frac{1}{61} + G_{120},\\
C_{130} &= \frac{6^{13}}{11} + \frac{64}{131} + G_{130},\\
C_{140} &= \frac{6^{14}}{11} + \frac{32^2}{71} + G_{140},\\
C_{150} &= \frac{6^{15}}{11} + \frac{10^5}{31} + \frac{52}{151} + G_{150},\\
C_{160} &= \frac{6^{16}}{11} + \frac{7^4}{41} + G_{160},\\
C_{170} &= \frac{6^{17}}{11} + G_{170},\\
C_{180} &= \frac{6^{18}}{11} + \frac{10^6}{31} + \frac{1}{61} + \frac{37}{181} + G_{180}.
\end{aligned}$$

16.2. $y(u)$ of the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$. First several values of D_{10n} for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$ $(g = 2)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{10} &= \frac{1}{11} \cdot 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2, \\
D_{20} &= \frac{1}{11} \cdot 2^{15} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13, \\
D_{30} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 31} \cdot 2^{23} \cdot 3^{11} \cdot 5^7 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23, \\
D_{40} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{35} \cdot 3^{17} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^3 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 53, \\
D_{50} &= \frac{-1}{11} \cdot 2^{43} \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 7^4 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 683, \\
D_{60} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 31 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{57} \cdot 3^{28} \cdot 5^{15} \cdot 7^6 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \\
&\quad \cdot 115781, \\
D_{70} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 71} \cdot 2^{64} \cdot 3^{32} \cdot 5^{16} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 13^6 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \\
&\quad \cdot 59 \cdot 22703881, \\
D_{80} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{75} \cdot 3^{33} \cdot 5^{19} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 13^6 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \\
&\quad \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \\
&\quad \cdot 73 \cdot 4580521741, \\
D_{90} &= \frac{-1}{11 \cdot 31} \cdot 2^{83} \cdot 3^{42} \cdot 5^{22} \cdot 7^9 \cdot 13^6 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 19^5 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \\
&\quad \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 9601 \cdot 1285049, \\
D_{100} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 101} \cdot 2^{94} \cdot 3^{44} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 13^7 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^5 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47^2 \cdot 53 \\
&\quad \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 4002942001952573, \\
D_{110} &= \frac{-1}{11} \cdot 2^{102} \cdot 3^{48} \cdot 5^{27} \cdot 7^{12} \cdot 13^8 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 19^5 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 37 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47^2 \cdot 53^2 \\
&\quad \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 9747003959677530439, \\
D_{120} &= \frac{1}{11 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{116} \cdot 3^{56} \cdot 5^{29} \cdot 7^{13} \cdot 13^9 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 19^6 \cdot 23^6 \cdot 29^4 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47^2 \\
&\quad \cdot 53^2 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 1759 \cdot 2027 \cdot 2278423765903.
\end{aligned}
$$

16.3. $\boldsymbol{x(u)}$ of the curve $\boldsymbol{y^2 = x^5 - x}$. First several values of C_{8n} for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - x$ $(g = 2)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_8 &= 2^7 \cdot 5, \\
C_{16} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{15} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13, \\
C_{24} &= 2^{22} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 19, \\
C_{32} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{31} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 1741, \\
C_{40} &= \frac{1}{41} \cdot 2^{40} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^8 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 5693, \\
C_{48} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{46} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5^8 \cdot, 7^5 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 41957857, \\
C_{56} &= 2^{54} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^{11} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^5 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 19 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 715991, \\
C_{64} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{63} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 7^9 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 89 \cdot 32591401, \\
C_{72} &= \frac{1}{73} \cdot 2^{72} \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 11^4 \cdot 13^5 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 19346595547931, \\
C_{80} &= \frac{-1}{17 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{80} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 5^{17} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 11^5 \cdot 13^6 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61^2 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 5826608412403.
\end{aligned}
$$

Each C_{8n} is written by a certain integer G_{8n} (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem) as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rcccccccccl}
C_8 & & & & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{16} & = & \dfrac{11}{17} & & & & & & & & +G_{16}, \\
C_{24} & & & & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{32} & = & \dfrac{11^2}{17} & & & & & & & & +G_{32}, \\
C_{40} & = & & \dfrac{35}{41} & & & & & & & +G_{40}, \\
C_{48} & = & \dfrac{11^3}{17} & & & & & & & & +G_{48}, \\
C_{56} & & & & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{64} & = & \dfrac{11^4}{17} & & & & & & & & +G_{64}, \\
C_{72} & = & & & \dfrac{2}{73} & & & & & & +G_{72}, \\
C_{80} & = & \dfrac{11^6}{17} & +\dfrac{35^2}{41} & & & & & & & +G_{80}, \\
C_{88} & = & & & & \dfrac{18}{89} & & & & & +G_{88}, \\
C_{96} & = & \dfrac{11^7}{17} & & & & +\dfrac{10}{97} & & & & +G_{96}, \\
C_{104} & & & & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{112} & = & \dfrac{11^8}{17} & & & & & +\dfrac{18}{113} & & & +G_{112}, \\
C_{120} & = & & \dfrac{35^3}{41} & & & & & & & +G_{120}, \\
C_{128} & = & \dfrac{11^9}{17} & & & & & & & & +G_{128}, \\
C_{136} & = & & & & & & & \dfrac{131}{137} & & +G_{136}, \\
C_{144} & = & \dfrac{11^{10}}{17} & & +\dfrac{2^2}{73} & & & & & & +G_{144}.
\end{array}
$$

16.4. $\boldsymbol{x(u)}$ of the curve $\boldsymbol{y^2 = x^7 - 1}$. First several values of C_{14n} for the curve $y^2 = x^7 - 1$ ($g = 3$) are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{14} &= 2^{11} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11, \\
C_{28} &= \frac{-1}{29} \cdot 2^{25} \cdot 3^{11} \cdot 5^{4} \cdot 7^{4} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23, \\
C_{42} &= \frac{1}{43} \cdot 2^{39} \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 5^{6} \cdot 7^{6} \cdot 11^{3} \cdot 13^{3} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23 \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 37 \cdot 61, \\
C_{56} &= \frac{-1}{29} \cdot 2^{53} \cdot 3^{22} \cdot 5^{9} \cdot 7^{9} \cdot 11^{4} \cdot 13^{4} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \\
&\quad \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 179 \cdot 2273, \\
C_{70} &= \frac{1}{71} \cdot 2^{67} \cdot 3^{28} \cdot 5^{11} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 11^{6} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 37 \\
&\quad \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 1093 \cdot 31513, \\
C_{84} &= \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 43} \cdot 2^{81} \cdot, 3^{35} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 7^{13} \cdot 11^{7} \cdot 13^{6} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 14953011635323, \\
C_{98} &= \frac{1}{29} \cdot 2^{95} \cdot 3^{40} \cdot 5^{16} \cdot 7^{16} \cdot 11^{8} \cdot 13^{7} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{4} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 37^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 5413 \cdot 15937 \cdot 27361, \\
C_{112} &= \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 113} \cdot 2^{109} \cdot 3^{47} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 7^{19} \cdot 11^{11} \cdot 13^{8} \cdot 17^{5} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 37^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\quad \cdot 109 \cdot 7752349172767981, \\
C_{126} &= \frac{1}{43 \cdot 127} \cdot 2^{123} \cdot 3^{52} \cdot 5^{22} \cdot 7^{20} \cdot 11^{13} \cdot 13^{9} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{4} \cdot 23^{5} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 37^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{3} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\quad \cdot 109 \cdot 47713 \cdot 139246440988973, \\
C_{140} &= \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 71} \cdot 2^{137} \cdot 3^{57} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot 7^{22} \cdot 11^{13} \cdot 13^{10} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 37^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{3} \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\quad \cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 2159361537856453634367 12289, \\
C_{154} &= 2^{151} \cdot 3^{64} \cdot 5^{26} \cdot 7^{25} \cdot 11^{16} \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 37^{4} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{3} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\quad \cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 14137678329625798451623342 1, \\
C_{168} &= \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 43} \cdot 2^{165} \cdot 3^{70} \cdot 5^{29} \cdot 7^{27} \cdot 11^{16} \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 37^{4} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{4} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\quad \cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157^{2} \cdot 163 \cdot 811 \\
&\quad \cdot 417793 \cdot 1745978749 \cdot 41834306314956317, \\
C_{182} &= 2^{181} \cdot 3^{76} \cdot 5^{31} \cdot 7^{29} \cdot 11^{17} \cdot 13^{14} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 37^{4} \\
&\quad \cdot 41^{4} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 61 \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \\
&\cdot 2538509 \cdot 7602187 \cdot 167935399 \cdot 79956683481346979, \\
C_{196} = \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 197} &\cdot 2^{201} \cdot 3^{82} \cdot 5^{34} \cdot 7^{32} \cdot 11^{19} \cdot 13^{15} \cdot 17^{9} \cdot 19^{7} \cdot 23^{8} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 37^{5} \\
&\cdot 41^{4} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97^{2} \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \\
&\cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 181 \cdot 191 \cdot 193 \\
&\cdot 727297 \cdot 6477876022403426986703335131 8033, \\
C_{210} = \frac{1}{43 \cdot 71 \cdot 211} &\cdot 2^{207} \cdot 3^{88} \cdot 5^{36} \cdot 7^{35} \cdot 11^{19} \cdot 13^{16} \cdot 17^{10} \cdot 19^{8} \cdot 23^{9} \cdot 31^{5} \cdot 37^{5} \\
&\cdot 41^{5} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{3} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97^{2} \cdot 101^{2} \cdot 103^{2} \cdot 107 \\
&\cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 181 \cdot 191 \cdot 193 \\
&\cdot 199 \cdot 537631123386707971 \cdot 18794983289779228819762513777 1, \\
C_{224} = \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 113} &\cdot 2^{211} \cdot 3^{93} \cdot 5^{38} \cdot 7^{37} \cdot 11^{21} \cdot 13^{17} \cdot 17^{11} \cdot 19^{7} \cdot 23^{9} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 37^{6} \\
&\cdot 41^{5} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{4} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 61^{3} \cdot 67^{3} \cdot 73^{3} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97^{2} \cdot 101^{2} \cdot 103^{2} \cdot 107^{2} \\
&\cdot 109^{2} \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 181 \cdot 191 \cdot 193 \\
&\cdot 199 \cdot 2143 \cdot 98027519726768520416275463578749961069519955 1689, \\
C_{238} = \frac{1}{239} &\cdot 2^{235} \cdot 3^{102} \cdot 5^{42} \cdot 7^{38} \cdot 11^{22} \cdot 13^{18} \cdot 17^{13} \cdot 19^{8} \cdot 23^{10} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 37^{6} \\
&\cdot 41^{5} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{4} \cdot 59^{4} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{4} \cdot 73^{3} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97^{2} \cdot 101^{2} \cdot 103^{2} \cdot 107^{2} \\
&\cdot 109^{2} \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 181 \cdot 191 \cdot 193 \\
&\cdot 199 \cdot 223 \cdot 227 \cdot 229 \cdot 233 \\
&\cdot 1481 \cdot 817289119749725617 \cdot 12931186268563130336130138 5041, \\
C_{252} = \frac{-1}{29 \cdot 43 \cdot 127} &\cdot 2^{213} \cdot 3^{107} \cdot 5^{44} \cdot 7^{41} \cdot 11^{24} \cdot 13^{19} \cdot 17^{11} \cdot 19^{9} \cdot 23^{10} \cdot 31^{7} \cdot 37^{6} \\
&\cdot 41^{6} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{4} \cdot 59^{4} \cdot 61^{3} \cdot 67^{3} \cdot 73^{3} \cdot 79^{3} \cdot 83^{3} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97^{2} \cdot 101^{2} \cdot 103^{2} \cdot 107^{2} \\
&\cdot 109^{2} \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 181 \cdot 191 \cdot 193 \\
&\cdot 199 \cdot 223 \cdot 227 \cdot 229 \cdot 233 \cdot 241 \cdot 38356192325687 \\
&\cdot 983117314012755559943 \cdot 20931689725795428420674380969 3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Each C_{14n} is written by an integer G_{14n} (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{14} && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{28} = \frac{4}{29} && + G_{28},\\
&C_{42} = \frac{10}{43} && + G_{42},\\
&C_{56} = \frac{4^2}{29} && + G_{56},\\
&C_{70} = \frac{20}{71} && + G_{70},\\
&C_{84} = \frac{4^3}{29} + \frac{10^2}{43} && + G_{84},\\
&C_{98} && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{112} = \frac{4^4}{29} + \frac{3}{113} && + G_{112},\\
&C_{126} = \frac{10^3}{43} + \frac{41}{127} && + G_{126},\\
&C_{140} = \frac{4^5}{29} + \frac{20^2}{71} && + G_{140},\\
&C_{154} && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{168} = \frac{4^6}{29} + \frac{10^4}{43} && + G_{168},\\
&C_{182} && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{196} = \frac{4^7}{29} + \frac{56}{197} && + G_{196},\\
&C_{210} = \frac{10^5}{43} + \frac{20^3}{71} + \frac{180}{211} && + G_{210},\\
&C_{224} = \frac{4^8}{29} + \frac{3^2}{113} && + G_{224},\\
&C_{238} = \frac{118}{239} && + G_{238},\\
&C_{252} = \frac{4^9}{29} + \frac{10^6}{43} + \frac{41^2}{127} && + G_{252}.
\end{aligned}$$

16.5. $x(u)$ of the curve $y^2 = x^7 - x$. First several values of C_{12n} for the curve $y^2 = x^7 - x$ ($g = 3$) are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{12} &= \frac{1}{13} \cdot 2^{10} \cdot 3^{5} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7, \\
C_{24} &= \frac{-1}{13} \cdot 2^{22} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{3} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19, \\
C_{36} &= \frac{1}{13 \cdot 37} \cdot 2^{36} \cdot 3^{17} \cdot 5^{6} \cdot 7^{4} \cdot 11^{3} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41, \\
C_{48} &= \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{46} \cdot 3^{22} \cdot 5^{6} \cdot 7^{5} \cdot 11^{4} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \\
&\quad \cdot 43 \cdot 226843, \\
C_{60} &= \frac{1}{13 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{56} \cdot 3^{30} \cdot 5^{11} \cdot 7^{8} \cdot 11^{5} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \\
&\quad \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 1489, \\
C_{72} &= \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 37} \cdot 2^{73} \cdot 3^{34} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 11^{6} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41 \\
&\quad \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 9833 \cdot 38618729, \\
C_{84} &= \frac{1}{13} \cdot 2^{84} \cdot 3^{42} \cdot 5^{14} \cdot 7^{12} \cdot 11^{7} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{4} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 257 \cdot 311 \cdot 10445899, \\
C_{96} &= \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 97} \cdot 2^{94} \cdot 3^{47} \cdot 5^{14} \cdot 7^{12} \cdot 11^{8} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{4} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 41^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 43^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 157 \cdot 47563 \cdot 46457 \cdot 653693, \\
C_{108} &= \frac{1}{13 \cdot 37 \cdot 109} \cdot 2^{105} \cdot 3^{53} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 7^{15} \cdot 11^{9} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 41^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 43^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \\
&\quad \cdot 647 \cdot 255307815673498109, \\
C_{120} &= \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{116} \cdot 3^{59} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 7^{17} \cdot 11^{9} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{5} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 41^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 43^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 331 \cdot 4759 \cdot 8486377 \cdot 205745894701, \\
C_{132} &= \frac{1}{13} \cdot 2^{132} \cdot 3^{63} \cdot 5^{22} \cdot 7^{18} \cdot 11^{12} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{5} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 41^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 43^{3} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 127 \cdot 519205082831736259350305213, \\
C_{144} &= \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 37 \cdot 73} \cdot 2^{145} \cdot 3^{70} \cdot 5^{22} \cdot 7^{19} \cdot 11^{13} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 19^{7} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 41^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 43^{3} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 487 \cdot 116447 \cdot 70754581159 \cdot 13276324698781, \\
C_{156} &= \frac{1}{13 \cdot 157} \cdot 2^{152} \cdot 3^{75} \cdot 5^{26} \cdot 7^{22} \cdot 11^{14} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 19^{8} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 41^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 43^{3} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cdot 449 \cdot 9839 \cdot 2761009 \cdot 22771433 \cdot 14897675203748611, \\
C_{168} = {} & \frac{-1}{13} \cdot 2^{167} \cdot 3^{87} \cdot 5^{26} \cdot, 7^{25} \cdot 11^{15} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 19^{8} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 31^{5} \cdot 41^{4} \\
&\cdot 43^{3} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \\
&\cdot 2153 \cdot 2179 \cdot 2909 \cdot 5303 \cdot 28573 \cdot 215723 \cdot 486014655083, \\
C_{180} = {} & \frac{1}{13 \cdot 37 \cdot 61 \cdot 181} \cdot 2^{181} \cdot 3^{90} \cdot 5^{31} \cdot 7^{25} \cdot 11^{16} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 19^{9} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 31^{5} \cdot 41^{4} \\
&\cdot 43^{4} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \\
&\cdot 1409 \cdot 4356533 \cdot 41429650536998423202242258179333, \\
C_{192} = {} & \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 97 \cdot 193} \cdot 2^{190} \cdot 3^{97} \cdot 5^{30} \cdot 7^{26} \cdot 11^{17} \cdot 17^{10} \cdot 19^{10} \cdot 23^{8} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 41^{4} \\
&\cdot 43^{4} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \\
&\cdot 1637 \cdot 6553 \cdot 91528069 \cdot 138182422934743639 \cdot 2002149133519714847, \\
C_{204} = {} & \frac{1}{13} \cdot 2^{201} \cdot 3^{100} \cdot 5^{34} \cdot 7^{29} \cdot 11^{18} \cdot 17^{11} \cdot 19^{10} \cdot 23^{8} \cdot 29^{6} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 41^{3} \\
&\cdot 43^{4} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 67^{3} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 101^{2} \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 113 \\
&\cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 191 \cdot 197 \cdot 199 \\
&\cdot 3215816668951 \cdot 690281420686969 \cdot 355828513600997578367, \\
C_{216} = {} & \frac{-1}{13 \cdot 37 \cdot 73 \cdot 109} \cdot 2^{213} \cdot 3^{106} \cdot 5^{34} \cdot 7^{31} \cdot 11^{19} \cdot 17^{10} \cdot 19^{11} \cdot 23^{9} \cdot 29^{6} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 41^{4} \\
&\cdot 43^{4} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{4} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 67^{3} \cdot 71^{3} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 101^{2} \cdot 103^{2} \cdot 107^{2} \cdot 113 \\
&\cdot 127 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 191 \cdot 197 \cdot 199 \cdot 211 \\
&\cdot 668273 \cdot 236655047 \cdot 8245796813443668293 \\
&\cdot 2048021738357246699741070257.
\end{aligned}
$$

Each C_{12n} is written by an integer G_{12n} (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{12} &= \frac{7}{13} + G_{12},\\
C_{24} &= \frac{7^2}{13} + G_{24},\\
C_{36} &= \frac{7^3}{13} + G_{36},\\
C_{48} &= \frac{7^4}{13} + G_{48},\\
C_{60} &= \frac{7^5}{13} + \frac{51}{61} + G_{60},\\
C_{72} &= \frac{7^6}{13} + \frac{6}{73} + G_{72},\\
C_{84} &= \frac{7^7}{13} + G_{84},\\
C_{96} &= \frac{7^8}{13} + \frac{79}{97} + G_{96},\\
C_{108} &= \frac{7^9}{13} + \frac{103}{109} + G_{108},\\
C_{120} &= \frac{7^{10}}{13} + \frac{51^2}{61} + G_{120},\\
C_{132} &= \frac{7^{11}}{13} + G_{132},\\
C_{144} &= \frac{7^{12}}{13} + \frac{6^2}{73} + G_{144},\\
C_{156} &= \frac{7^{13}}{13} + \frac{22}{157} + G_{156},\\
C_{168} &= \frac{7^{14}}{13} + G_{168},\\
C_{180} &= \frac{7^{15}}{13} + \frac{51^3}{61} + \frac{79^2}{97} + \frac{18}{181} + G_{180},\\
C_{192} &= \frac{7^{16}}{13} + \frac{179}{193} + G_{196},\\
C_{204} &= \frac{7^{17}}{13} + G_{204},\\
C_{216} &= \frac{7^{18}}{13} + \frac{6^3}{73} + \frac{103^2}{109} + G_{216}.
\end{aligned}
$$

16.6. $x(u)$ of the curve $y^2 = x^9 - 1$. First several values of C_{18n} for the curve $y^2 = x^9 - 1$ ($g = 4$) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{18} &= \frac{1}{19} \cdot 2^{16} \cdot 3^{8} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 13,\\
C_{36} &= \frac{-1}{19 \cdot 37} \cdot 2^{34} \cdot 3^{17} \cdot 5^{7} \cdot 7^{4} \cdot 11^{3} \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 53,\\
C_{54} &= \frac{1}{19} \cdot 2^{51} \cdot 3^{26} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot 7^{8} \cdot 11^{3} \cdot 13^{4} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \cdot 47,\\
C_{72} &= \frac{-1}{19 \cdot 37 \cdot 73} \cdot 2^{70} \cdot 3^{34} \cdot 5^{16} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 11^{5} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41\\
&\quad \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 277 \cdot 35107,\\
C_{90} &= \frac{1}{19} \cdot 2^{89} \cdot 3^{44} \cdot 5^{21} \cdot 7^{13} \cdot 11^{7} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{5} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 43^{2}\\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 113 \cdot 199 \cdot 2069,\\
C_{108} &= \frac{-1}{19 \cdot 37 \cdot 109} \cdot 2^{105} \cdot 3^{53} \cdot 5^{29} \cdot 7^{17} \cdot 11^{7} \cdot 13^{7} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 23^{4} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 41^{2}\\
&\quad \cdot 43^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59 \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 251 \cdot 2681009,\\
C_{126} &= \frac{1}{19 \cdot 127} \cdot 2^{126} \cdot 3^{63} \cdot 5^{31} \cdot 7^{21} \cdot 11^{10} \cdot 13^{8} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 23^{5} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 41^{3}\\
&\quad \cdot 43^{2} \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107\\
&\quad \cdot 113 \cdot 22639911725059,\\
C_{144} &= \frac{-1}{19 \cdot 37 \cdot 73} \cdot 2^{144} \cdot 3^{71} \cdot 5^{35} \cdot 7^{22} \cdot 11^{14} \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 23^{6} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 31^{4} \cdot 41^{3}\\
&\quad \cdot 43^{3} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{2} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107\\
&\quad \cdot 113 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 257 \cdot 2063 \cdot 14375966528323,\\
C_{162} &= \frac{1}{19 \cdot 163} \cdot 2^{160} \cdot 3^{84} \cdot 5^{39} \cdot 7^{26} \cdot 11^{12} \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{9} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 31^{5} \cdot 41^{3}\\
&\quad \cdot 43^{3} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107\\
&\quad \cdot 113 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 683 \cdot 1868051 \cdot 4779877 \cdot 5414895901,\\
C_{180} &= \frac{-1}{19 \cdot 37 \cdot 181} \cdot 2^{180} \cdot 3^{92} \cdot 5^{45} \cdot 7^{28} \cdot 11^{14} \cdot 13^{12} \cdot 17^{10} \cdot 23^{7} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 31^{5} \cdot 41^{4}\\
&\quad \cdot 43^{4} \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107\\
&\quad \cdot 113 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179\\
&\quad \cdot 80051837 \cdot 3425819816490635592983,\\
C_{198} &= \frac{1}{19 \cdot 199} \cdot 2^{196} \cdot 3^{99} \cdot 5^{47} \cdot 7^{32} \cdot 11^{18} \cdot 13^{14} \cdot 17^{11} \cdot 23^{8} \cdot 29^{7} \cdot 31^{6} \cdot 41^{4}\\
&\quad \cdot 43^{4} \cdot 47^{4} \cdot 53^{3} \cdot 59^{3} \cdot 61^{3} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 79^{2} \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89^{2} \cdot 97^{2} \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107\\
&\quad \cdot 113 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151^{2} \cdot 157 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 191 \cdot 193 \cdot 197\\
&\quad \cdot 3943 \cdot 64682082077 \cdot 9591335434411.
\end{aligned}$$

Each C_{18n} is written by an integer G_{18n} (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{18} &= \frac{7}{19} + G_{18}, \\
C_{36} &= \frac{7^2}{19} + \frac{5}{37} + G_{36}, \\
C_{54} &= \frac{7^3}{19} + G_{54}, \\
C_{72} &= \frac{7^4}{19} + \frac{5^2}{37} + \frac{67}{73} + G_{76}, \\
C_{90} &= \frac{7^5}{19} + G_{90}, \\
C_{108} &= \frac{7^6}{19} + \frac{5^3}{37} + G_{108}, \\
C_{126} &= \frac{7^7}{19} + \frac{95}{109} + G_{126}, \\
C_{144} &= \frac{7^8}{19} + \frac{5^4}{37} + \frac{67^2}{73} + G_{144}, \\
C_{162} &= \frac{7^9}{19} + \frac{7}{163} + G_{162}, \\
C_{180} &= \frac{7^{10}}{19} + \frac{5^5}{37} + \frac{20}{181} + G_{180}, \\
C_{198} &= \frac{7^{11}}{19} + \frac{114}{199} + G_{198}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{17}$ Non-hyperelliptic curves

17.1. Algebraic curves with completely ramified at infinity. We here describe how the natural variable u is chosen for a general curve of cyclotomic type.

Let a and b be coprime pair of positive integers, and let

$$f(x,y) = y^a - x^b - \sum_{(i,j)} \lambda_{ia+jb} x^i y^j \tag{17.1.1}$$

be separable polynomial, where the pair (i,j) runs through the integers such that

$$0 \leqq i < b-1, \quad 0 \leqq j < a-1, \quad ia+jb < ab \tag{17.1.2}$$

We discuss with the algebraic curve defined by

$$C : f(x,y) = 0. \tag{17.1.3}$$

Here C is regarded naturally as a curve with unique point ∞ at infinity. The genus of C is given by $g = (a-1)(b-1)/2$. On this curve C, the set

$$\frac{x^{i-1}y^{a-j-1}dx}{f_y(x,y)} \tag{17.1.4}$$

forms a basis of the differential forms of the first kind, where $f_y(x,y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)$. By choosing a generator of the fundamental group of C, we define the period matrix $[\omega' \ \omega'']$. We also define the lattice of periods in $\mathbf{C}^g$ by

$$\Lambda := \omega'\,{}^t[\mathbf{Z} \quad \mathbf{Z} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{Z}] + \omega''\,{}^t[\mathbf{Z} \quad \mathbf{Z} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{Z}] \ (\subset \mathbf{C}^g).$$

We denote the Jacobian variety of C by J, and the symmetric product of g copies of C by $\mathrm{Sym}^g(C)$. Then we have a birational map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sym}^g(C) &\to \mathrm{Pic}^\circ(C) = J \\ (P_1, \dots, P_g) &\mapsto \text{the class of } P_1 + \cdots + P_g - g\cdot\infty. \end{aligned}$$

As an analytic manifold, J is identified with $\mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda$. We denote by κ the natural map $\mathbf{C}^g \to \mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda = J$. The map

$$\iota : Q \mapsto Q - \infty$$

gives an embedding of C into J. The pull-back $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$ of the image of ι by κ is a universal Abelian covering of C. The birational map is represented analytically by sending each $(P_1, \dots, P_g) \in \mathrm{Sym}^g(C)$ to the point $\boldsymbol{u} \mod \Lambda \in \mathbf{C}^g/\Lambda$, where

$$\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_g) = \left(\int_\infty^{P_1} + \cdots + \int_\infty^{P_g} \right) (\omega_1, \ \dots, \ \omega_g).$$

For each point $\boldsymbol{u} \in \kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$, we denote by

$$x(\boldsymbol{u}), \qquad y(\boldsymbol{u}) \tag{17.1.5}$$

the value of (x, y)-coordinate of C such that $\kappa(\boldsymbol{u}) = \iota\,(x(\boldsymbol{u}),\ y(\boldsymbol{u}))$ We regard a rational expression of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ as a function on $\kappa^{-1}\iota(C)$. Basically, we have

Lemma 17.1.6. *The Laurent development of $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $y(\boldsymbol{u})$ around $\boldsymbol{u} = (0, \cdots, 0)$ with respect to u_g is of the form*

$$x(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{{u_g}^a} + (d^\circ(u_g) \geqq -a+1), \quad y(\boldsymbol{u}) = -\frac{1}{{u_g}^b} + (d^\circ(u_g) \geqq -b+1).$$

Moreover, we have a result correspond to 4.2.2, and we see that

It is natural to take $u := u_g$ as a local parameter around $\boldsymbol{u} = (0, \cdots, 0)$ on $\iota^{-1}\kappa(C)$.

We call a curve C defined by $f(x, y) = 0$, where

$$f(x, y) = y^a - x^b + 1, \quad \text{or} \quad f(x, y) = y^a - x^b + x, \tag{17.1.7}$$

to be a curve *of cyclotomic type.* For such a curve C, the Hurwitz coefficients of the power series development of the functions $u = u_g \mapsto x(\boldsymbol{u})$, $u_g \mapsto y(\boldsymbol{u})$ with respect to u satisfy the Clarke type theorem (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem plus the extension of von Staudt second theorem) and the Kummer type theorem. We can prove them by the same argument of this paper.

17.2. $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $y^3 = x^5 - 1$. Let consider the curve $y^3 = x^5 - 1$ ($g = 4$). Its local parameter defined in 17.1 is

$$u_4 = \int_{\infty}^{(x,y)} \frac{x^2}{3y^2}.$$

The function $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ for this curve satisfies

$$\frac{du_4}{dx} = \frac{x^2 dx}{3y^2},$$

namely

$$\boxed{x(\boldsymbol{u})^6 x'(\boldsymbol{u})^3 = 27(x(\boldsymbol{u})^5 - 1)^2 \qquad (' \text{ means } \frac{d}{du_4}).}$$

First several values of the Hurwitz coefficients C_m of the power series development of $u \mapsto x(\boldsymbol{u})$ are as follows. Here if $15 \not| m$, then $C_m = 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{15} &= 2^{7} \cdot 3^{6} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7 \cdot 11, \\
C_{30} &= \frac{1}{31} \cdot 2^{19} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^{7} \cdot 7^{3} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 17 \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23, \\
C_{45} &= 2^{31} \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^{6} \cdot 11^{3} \cdot 13^{3} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 41 \cdot 787, \\
C_{60} &= \frac{1}{31 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{43} \cdot 3^{28} \cdot 5^{15} \cdot 7^{9} \cdot 11^{5} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{3} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 2671, \\
C_{75} &= 2^{55} \cdot 3^{37} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 11^{6} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{4} \cdot 19^{2} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 149 \cdot 5843, \\
C_{90} &= \frac{1}{31} \cdot 2^{67} \cdot 3^{44} \cdot 5^{21} \cdot 7^{13} \cdot 11^{9} \cdot 13^{5} \cdot 17^{5} \cdot 19^{3} \cdot 23 \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 482561869, \\
C_{105} &= 2^{79} \cdot 3^{51} \cdot 5^{25} \cdot 7^{17} \cdot 11^{9} \cdot 13^{6} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29^{3} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 4132022673901, \\
C_{120} &= \frac{1}{31 \cdot 61} \cdot 2^{91} \cdot 3^{59} \cdot 5^{29} \cdot 7^{18} \cdot 11^{9} \cdot 13^{8} \cdot 17^{6} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 41^{2} \cdot 43^{2} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \\
&\quad \cdot 113153 \cdot 31199395512997, \\
C_{135} &= 2^{103} \cdot 3^{66} \cdot 5^{34} \cdot 7^{20} \cdot 11^{12} \cdot 13^{9} \cdot 17^{7} \cdot 19^{6} \cdot 23^{2} \cdot 29^{4} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 41^{3} \cdot 43^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{2} \cdot 53 \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83^{2} \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
&\quad \cdot 131 \cdot 1567 \cdot 970351 \cdot 280656653, \\
C_{150} &= \frac{1}{31 \cdot 151} \cdot 2^{115} \cdot 3^{73} \cdot 5^{37} \cdot 7^{24} \cdot 11^{13} \cdot 13^{11} \cdot 17^{8} \cdot 19^{5} \cdot 23^{3} \cdot 29^{5} \cdot 37^{3} \cdot 41^{3} \cdot 43^{3} \\
&\quad \cdot 47^{3} \cdot 53 \cdot 59^{2} \cdot 67^{2} \cdot 71^{2} \cdot 73^{2} \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \\
&\quad \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 1993 \cdot 13703297 \cdot 394936930338121.
\end{aligned}
$$

Each C_{15n} is written by an integer G_{15n} as follows (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem):

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{15} && && && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{30} = \frac{2}{31} && && &&+G_{30},\\
&C_{45} && && && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{60} = \frac{2\cdot 4}{31} &&+\frac{6}{61} && &&+G_{60},\\
&C_{75} && && && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{90} = \frac{2\cdot 4^2}{31} && && &&+G_{90},\\
&C_{105} && && && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{120} = \frac{2\cdot 4^3}{31} &&+\frac{11\cdot 12}{61} && &&+G_{120},\\
&C_{135} && && && \text{“an integer”},\\
&C_{150} = \frac{2\cdot 4^4}{31} && &&+\frac{136}{151} &&+G_{150}.
\end{aligned}$$

17.3. $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{u})$ of the curve $\boldsymbol{y}^4 = \boldsymbol{x}^3 - \boldsymbol{x}$. Let consider the curve $y^4 = x^3 - x$ $(g = 3)$. Its local parameter defined in 17.1 is

$$u_3 = \int_\infty^{(x,y)} \frac{xdx}{4y^3}.$$

The function $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ for this curve satisfies

$$\frac{du_3}{dx} = \frac{x}{4y^3},$$

namely,

$$\boxed{x(\boldsymbol{u})x'(\boldsymbol{u})^4 = 4^4(x^2(\boldsymbol{u}) - 1)^3 \qquad (' \text{ means } \frac{d}{du_3}).}$$

First several values of the Hurwitz coefficients C_m of the power series development of $u \mapsto x(\boldsymbol{u})$ are as follows. Here if $8 \not| m$, then $C_m = 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_8 &= 2^6, \\
C_{16} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{16} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11, \\
C_{24} &= 2^{21} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19, \\
C_{32} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{30} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 53, \\
C_{40} &= \frac{1}{41} \cdot 2^{38} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^7 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 10079, \\
C_{48} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{48} \cdot 3^{15} \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 631 \cdot 743, \\
C_{56} &= 2^{52} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 5^8 \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 6278521, \\
C_{64} &= \frac{-1}{17} \cdot 2^{62} \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^5 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 4383871061, \\
C_{72} &= \frac{1}{73} \cdot 2^{70} \cdot 3^{24} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^{10} \cdot 11^7 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 131 \cdot 262369, \\
C_{80} &= \frac{-1}{17 \cdot 41} \cdot 2^{80} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 7^{11} \cdot 11^7 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 439 \cdot 547 \cdot 667333, \\
C_{88} &= \frac{1}{89} \cdot 2^{84} \cdot 3^{30} \cdot 5^{14} \cdot 7^{12} \cdot 11^8 \cdot 13^5 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 1121453 \cdot 21825851419, \\
C_{96} &= \frac{-1}{17 \cdot 97} \cdot 2^{96} \cdot 3^{33} \cdot 5^{14} \cdot 7^{12} \cdot 11^8 \cdot 13^6 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 31^3 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 139 \cdot 20840707 \cdot 194526553349, \\
C_{104} &= 2^{104} \cdot 3^{36} \cdot 5^{16} \cdot 7^{14} \cdot 11^9 \cdot 13^7 \cdot 19^5 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 31^3 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47^2 \\
&\quad \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 1993 \cdot 126570133 \cdot 4007528953, \\
C_{112} &= \frac{-1}{17 \cdot 113} \cdot 2^{113} \cdot 3^{39} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 7^{16} \cdot 11^9 \cdot 13^7 \cdot 19^5 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 29^3 \cdot 31^3 \cdot 37^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47^2 \\
&\quad \cdot 53^2 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 167 \\
&\quad \cdot 70855976395479100717919, \\
C_{120} &= \frac{1}{41} \cdot 2^{116} \cdot 3^{42} \cdot 5^{18} \cdot 7^{17} \cdot 11^9 \cdot 13^8 \cdot 19^6 \cdot 23^5 \cdot 29^4 \cdot 31^3 \cdot 37^3 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47^2 \\
&\quad \cdot 53^2 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 101 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \\
&\quad \cdot 541 \cdot 117101 \cdot 1595557 \cdot 27462441284549.
\end{aligned}
$$

Each C_{8n} is written by an integer G_{8n} as follows (von Staudt-Clausen type theorem):

$$\begin{array}{rlllllll}
C_8 & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{16} = & \dfrac{11}{17} & & & & & & + G_{16}, \\
C_{24} & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{32} = & \dfrac{11\cdot 15}{17} & & & & & & + G_{32}, \\
C_{40} = & & \dfrac{29}{41} & & & & & + G_{40}, \\
C_{48} = & \dfrac{11\cdot 15^2}{17} & & & & & & + G_{48}, \\
C_{56} & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{64} = & \dfrac{11\cdot 15^3}{17} & & & & & & + G_{64}, \\
C_{72} = & & & \dfrac{1}{73} & & & & + G_{72}, \\
C_{80} = & \dfrac{11\cdot 15^4}{17} & + \dfrac{29\cdot 10^2}{41} & & & & & + G_{80}, \\
C_{88} = & & & & \dfrac{28}{89} & & & + G_{88}, \\
C_{96} = & \dfrac{11\cdot 15^5}{17} & & & & + \dfrac{94}{97} & & + G_{96}, \\
C_{104} & & & & & & & \text{“an integer”}, \\
C_{112} = & \dfrac{11\cdot 15^6}{17} & & & & & + \dfrac{73}{113} & + G_{112}, \\
C_{120} = & & \dfrac{29\cdot 10^3}{41} & & & & & + G_{120}.
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{18}$ Appendices

18.1. Relations on binomial coefficients. The Hasse-Witt matrix with respect to the basis (4.1.1) for the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1 \bmod p$ is diagonal ([**Yu**]), and its $(2,2)$-entry is, as in 6.3, given by

$$A_p = (-1)^{(p-1)/10} \cdot \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/10} \mod p.$$

We show that it coincide with the value (11.1.4). In other words, we claim, for a prime $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ by letting $p = 10m + 1$, that

$$\frac{(2m-1)!!}{m!2^m} \equiv (-1)^m \binom{5m}{m} \mod p.$$

Since this is equivalent to

$$\frac{(2m-1)!!}{m!} \equiv (-2)^m \binom{5m}{m} \mod p$$

we prove this. Because

$$2(5m), \quad 2(5m-1), \cdots, 2(5m-(m-1)) \mod p$$

are coincide with

$$-1, \quad -3, \cdots, \ -(2m-1) \mod p$$

respectively. Thus, we see the equation above. Similarly, we can prove

$$\frac{(5m-3)!!}{m!(-5)^m} \equiv (-1)^m \binom{5m}{m} \mod p.$$

Although, the facts above are proved by using basic properties of p-adic Γ-function, we have described them quite elementary way.

18.2. Links with certain Eisenstein type series without justification. It is a natural question whether the numbers C_n and D_n relate a kind of L-function. In the below, we explain that such a question is not completely nonsense.

We recall the proof of Hurwitz formula, that is

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{Z}+\mathbf{Z}\sqrt{-1},\,\neq 0}\frac{1}{\lambda^{4n}}=\frac{\varpi^{4n}}{(4n)!}2^{4n}E_{4n} \tag{18.2.1}$$

along [**Hu1**](see also [**AIK**, pp.193-198]), where

$$\varpi=\int_1^\infty\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3-x}}(>0).$$

After equating the two kinds of developments

$$\begin{aligned}\wp(u)&=\frac{1}{u^2}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{2^nE_n}{n}\frac{u^{n-2}}{(n-2)!},\\ \wp(u)&=\frac{1}{u^2}+\sum_{\ell\in\mathbf{Z}\varpi+\mathbf{Z}\varpi\sqrt{-1},\,\neq 0}\left(\frac{1}{(u-\ell)^2}-\frac{1}{\ell^2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{18.2.2}$$

by removing $1/u^2$, and by differentiating them $4n-2$ times, we have (18.2.1) by substituting $u=0$. This argument is similar to the proof of Euler's formula, namely the expression of the special value $\zeta(2m)$ of the Riemann zeta function by a Bernoulli number and π, by using two kinds of developments for $1/\sin^2(u)$.

For the case of a hyperelliptic curve of arbitrary genus g, $x(\boldsymbol{u})$ has Laurent expansion around each lattice point $\ell=(\ell_1,\ell_2,\cdots,\ell_g)\in\Lambda$ $(\subset\mathbf{C}^g)$:

$$x(\boldsymbol{u})=\frac{1}{(u_g-\ell_g)^2}+\cdots. \tag{18.2.3}$$

Although we do not have any justification, we could expect such the formula that

$$x(\boldsymbol{u})=\frac{1}{{u_g}^2}+\sum_{\ell\in\Lambda,\,\neq 0}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{(u_g-\ell_g)^2}-\frac{1}{{\ell_g}^2}\right), \tag{18.2.4}$$

where * means the sum is not justified. If such the formula exists by the similar argument as in $\wp(u)$, we have the following type formula:

For the curve $y^2=x^{2g+1}-1$,

$$\sum_{\lambda\in L,\,\neq 0}^{*}\frac{1}{\lambda^{2(2g+1)n}}=\frac{\Omega^{2(2g+1)n}}{(2(2g+1)n)!}C_{2(2g+1)n} \tag{18.2.5}$$

where

$$\Omega=\int_1^\infty\frac{x^{g-1}dx}{y}>0$$

and L is $1/\Omega$ times the image of the projection of $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{C}^g$ to the g-th factor $\mathbf{C}$ (For example, if $2g+1$ is a prime, then $L = \mathbf{Z}[e^{2\pi i/(2g+1)}]$).

Furthermore,

For the curve $y^2 = x^{2g+1} - x$,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in L, \neq 0}^{*} \frac{1}{\lambda^{4gn}} = \frac{\Omega^{4gn}}{(4gn)!} C_{4gn} \tag{18.2.6}$$

where

$$\Omega = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{y} > 0$$

and L is $1/\Omega$ times the image of the projection of $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{C}^g$ to the g-th factor $\mathbf{C}$.

If such results is obtained, it would be a discovery of new L-functions which is in the different category of Hecke's L-function associated to Grössen characters. The author also expect that this story relates to the thing written in [**I**, p.240].

18.3. Related formula with Hochschilt's formula. The following formula is similar to 13.2.2. Although this is weaker than 13.2.2, it is much general.

Proposition 18.3.1. *Let p be a prime. Let K be a function field over the finite field $\mathbf{F}_p$ of p elements. We denote by K^p the set of p-powers of the elements of K. Let φ be a generator of the extension K/K^p, namely, an element such that $K = K^p(\varphi)$. Suppose D be a derivation from K to itself. Then*

$$D^{p-1}\varphi = a + b\varphi, \quad (a,\ b \in K^p).$$

Proof. The field K is a vector space over K^p of dimension p, and 1, φ, φ^2, $\cdots$, φ^{p-1} form a basis of this space. So we may write

$$D\varphi = c_0 + c_1\varphi + c_2\varphi^2 + \cdots + c_{p-1}\varphi^{p-1}, \quad (c_j \in K^p).$$

Since $D(\varphi^j) = j\varphi^{j-1}D\varphi$, we have

$$D\,[\,1 \quad \varphi \quad \varphi^2 \quad \cdots \quad \varphi^{p-1}\,] = [\,1 \quad \varphi \quad \varphi^2 \quad \cdots \quad \varphi^{p-1}\,]\,T,$$

where

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c_0 & 2c_{p-1}\varphi^p & 3c_{p-2}\varphi^p & 4c_{p-3}\varphi^p & \cdots & (p-1)c_2\varphi^p \\ 0 & c_1 & 2c_0 & 3c_{p-1}\varphi^p & 4c_{p-2}\varphi^p & \cdots & (p-1)c_3\varphi^p \\ 0 & c_2 & 2c_1 & 3c_0 & 4c_{p-1}\varphi^p & \cdots & (p-1)c_4\varphi^p \\ 0 & c_3 & 2c_2 & 3c_1 & 4c_0 & \cdots & (p-1)c_5\varphi^p \\ 0 & c_4 & 2c_3 & 3c_2 & 4c_1 & \cdots & (p-1)c_6\varphi^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & c_{p-3} & 2c_{p-4} & 3c_{p-5} & 4c_{p-6} & \cdots & (p-1)c_{p-1}\varphi^p \\ 0 & c_{p-2} & 2c_{p-3} & 3c_{p-4} & 4c_{p-5} & \cdots & (p-1)c_0 \\ 0 & c_{p-1} & 2c_{p-2} & 3c_{p-3} & 4c_{p-4} & \cdots & (p-1)c_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It suffice for proving 18.3.1 to show the following.

Lemma 18.3.2. *Under the situation above, the matrix of size $(p-1)\times(p-1)$ given by removing the first row and the first column of*

$$T^{p-1} \mod p$$

is a scalar matrix.

The following proof is due to Hiroshi Suzuki. We denote for a square matrix M by $M_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_j}$ the matrix consists of the i_1, i_2, $\cdots$, i_j-th rows with the i_1, i_2, $\cdots$, i_j-th columns of M, and $|\ \ |$ means taking the determinant. It is well-known that the characteristic polynomial of M is given by

$$|tI - M| = t^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p}(-1)^{p-j}\Bigg(\sum_{1\leqq i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_j\leqq p}|M_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_j}|\Bigg)t^{p-j}. \tag{18.3.3}$$

To save complication, we regard the suffix of a row or column by modulo p. Let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & p-1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_0 & c_{p-1}\varphi^p & c_{p-2}\varphi^p & c_{p-3}\varphi^p & \cdots & c_2\varphi^p \\ c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & c_{p-1}\varphi^p & c_{p-2}\varphi^p & \cdots & c_3\varphi^p \\ c_3 & c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & c_{p-1}\varphi^p & \cdots & c_4\varphi^p \\ c_4 & c_3 & c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & \cdots & c_5\varphi^p \\ c_5 & c_4 & c_3 & c_2 & c_1 & \cdots & c_6\varphi^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{p-2} & c_{p-3} & c_{p-4} & c_{p-5} & c_{p-6} & \cdots & c_{p-1}\varphi^p \\ c_{p-1} & c_{p-2} & c_{p-3} & c_{p-4} & c_{p-5} & \cdots & c_0 \\ c_0\varphi^{-p} & c_{p-1} & c_{p-2} & c_{p-3} & c_{p-4} & \cdots & c_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So that $T = PS$. Then we can easily see, for $1 \leqq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leqq p$ that

$$|P_{i_1+1, i_2+1, \cdots, i_j+1}| = |P_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_j}|. \tag{18.3.4}$$

Moreover, we see that

$$|T_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_j}| = (i_1 - 1)(i_2 - 1)\cdots(i_j - 1)|P_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_j}|. \tag{18.3.5}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|tI - T| \\ &= t^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\Bigg((-1)^{p-j}\sum_{(i_1,\cdots,i_j)}\sum_{b\in\mathbf{F}_p}(b+i_1)\cdots(b+i_j)\Bigg)|P_{i_1,\cdots,i_j}|\ t^j + |T|. \end{aligned} \tag{18.3.6}$$

Here $|T| = 0$. The inner sum across over $(i_1, \cdots, i_j)$ with $1 \leqq k_1 < \cdots < k_j \leqq p$ modulo the equivalence relation such that additions of the same number for all the entries of $(k_1, \cdots, k_j)$ is not change its class. Of course, we are assuming that, if an entry exceed p, we subtract p from it and move it to the first entry circularly. Since p is a prime, each lengths are 1 or p. The length is 1 if and only if $j = p$. Thus it suffice for our aim only to prove

$$\sum_{b\in\mathbf{F}_p}(b+i_1)\cdots(b+i_j) = \begin{cases} \ \ 0 & (\text{if } 1 \leqq j < p-1\ ), \\ -1 & (\text{if } j = p-1\ ). \end{cases} \tag{18.3.7}$$

This is shown by considering the fundamental symmetric polynomial of p variables over $\mathbf{F}_p$. If $m = p-1$, then the orbit is unique and we can chose as its representative $(2, 3, \cdots, p)$. Therefore we conclude

$$|tI - T| = t^p - |P_{2,3,\cdots,p}|t. \tag{18.3.8}$$

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem states $T^p = |P_{2,3,\cdots,p}|T$. Since $|T_{2,3,\cdots,p}| \neq 0$, the proof of (18.3.2) have completed. □

18.4. Problems (or exercises?). Problems not yet proved or things to be investigated which the author have are as follows:

(1) Looking at the numerical examples, the signature of C_n or D_n are alternative or stable as in the cases of the Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers. Prove this phenomena.

(2) For example, about the curve $y^2 = x^5 - 1$, if $p \equiv 1 \mod 5$ and $p - 1 \not| \, 10m$ then $p \not| \, C_{10m}$.

(3) How are the orders of 2-part of the numbers C_n and D_n? For the case of the Bernoulli and Hurwitz numbers, they are exactly determined. For instance, is it true that, for $y^3 = x^5 - 1$ $(g = 4)$, $\mathrm{ord}_2 C_{15n} = 12n - 3$?

References

[Ad1] A. Adelberg, *Universal higher order Bernoulli numbers and Kummer and related congruences*, J. Number Theory, **84** (2000), 119-135.

[Ad2] A. Adelberg, *Kummer congruences for universal Bernoulli numbers and related congruences for poly-Bernoulli numbers*, Int. Math. J., **1** (2002), 53-63.

[Ad3] A. Adelberg, *Universal Kummer congruences mod prime powers*, J. Number Theory, (to appear).

[AIK] T. Arakawa, T. Ibukiyama, M. Kaneko, Bernoulli numbers and zeta functions (in Japanese), Makino-shoten, 2001.

[Ca1] L. Carlitz, *The coefficients of the reciprocal of a series*, Duke Math. J., **8** (1941), 689-700.

[Ca2] L. Carlitz, *Some properties of Hurwitz series*, Duke Math. J., **16** (1949), 285-295.

[Ca3] L. Carlitz, *Congruences for the coefficients of the Jacobi elliptic functions*, Duke Math. J., **16** (1949), 297-302.

[Ca4] L. Carlitz, *Congruences for the coefficients of hyperelliptic and related functions*, Duke Math. J., **19** (1952), 329-337.

[Cl] F. Clarke, *The universal von Staudt theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **315** (1989), 591-603.

[Co] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, The art of finite and infinite expansions (revised and enlarged edition), D.Reidel Pub. Company, 1974.

[G] H. Gunji, *The Hasse invariant and p-division points of an elliptic curve*, Arch. Math., **27** (1976), 148-157.

[Ho] T. Honda, *On the theory of commutative formal groups*, J. Math. Soc. japan, **22** (1970), 213-246.

[Hu1] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der lemniskatishen Funktionen*, Nachr. Acad. Wiss. Göttingen, (1897), 273-276 (Werke, Bd.II, pp.338-341).

[Hu2] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der lemniskatishen Funktionen*, Math. Ann., **51** (1899), 196-226 (Werke, Bd.II, pp.342-373).

[I] T. Ibukiyama, *Memoirs on "easy" zeta functions*, Proceedings of the 9th summer school on "Zeta functions" (2001), 235-248.

[Ka] N.M. Katz, *The congruence of Clausen-von Staudt and Kummer for Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers*, Math. Ann., **216** (1975), 1-4.

[Ku] E.E. Kummer, *Über eine allgemeine Eigenschaft der rationalen Entwickelungscoëfficienten einer bestimmten Gattung analytischer Functionen*, J. für die reine und angew. Math. **41** (1851), 368-372.

[L] H. Lang, *Kummersche Kongruenzen für die normierten Entwicklungskoeffizienten der Weierstrasschen $\wp$-Funktionen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **33** (1969), 183-196.

[Ma] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1980.

[Mo] Y. Morita, *A p-adic analogue of the Γ-function*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **22** (1975), 255-266.

[R] A.M. Robert, A course in p-adic analysis, GTM 198, Springer Verlag, 2000.

[V] H.S. Vandiver, *Certain congruence involving the Bernoulli numbers*, Duke Math. J., **5** (1939), 548-551.

[Ya1] S. Yasuda, *Handwritten note* (2004).

[Ya2] S. Yasuda, *Handwritten note* (2004).

[Yu] N. Yui, *On the Jacobian varieties of hyperelliptic curves over fields of characteristic $p > 2$*, Journ. of Algebra **52** (1978), 378-410.

[W] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, A course of modern analysis , Cambridge Univ. Press, 1927.